

Draft Validation report form for inclusion of component project activities

(Version 02.0)

Complete this form in accordance with instructions attached at the end of this form.			
Basic	INFORMATION		
Title and UNFCCC reference number of the programme of activities (PoA)	Impact Carbon Global Safe Water Programme of Activities (PoA) UNFCCC Ref. No.: 9948		
Version numbers of the PoA-DD to which this report applies	Version: 7.0; Dated: 18/04/2017		
	CPA Ref. no.	Title	
		Impact Carbon Global Safe Water Programme of Activities (PoA): CPA 23	
Title and reference number of each CPAs to be		Impact Carbon Global Safe Water Programme of Activities (PoA): CPA 24	
included	9948 -0025 9948 -0026	Impact Carbon Global Safe Water Programme of Activities (PoA): CPA 25	
	9948 -0027	Impact Carbon Global Safe Water Programme of Activities (PoA): CPA 26	
		Impact Carbon Global Safe Water Programme of Activities (PoA): CPA 27	
	CPA Ref. no.	Sectoral scopes	
Sectoral scopes for each CPA	9948 -0023		
	9948 -0024		
	9948 -0025	Sectoral Scope 3: Energy demand	
	9948 -0026		
	9948 -0027		
	CPA Ref. no.	Selected methodologies and standardized baselines	
Applied methodologies and standardized	9948 -0023		
Applied methodologies and standardized baselines for each CPA	9948 -0024	AMS-III.AV (Version 4.0): Low greenhouse	
	9948 -0025	gas emitting safe drinking water production systems	
	9948 -0026	Systems	
	9948 -0027		
Version number of the validation report	01		
Completion date of the validation report	port 21/09/2018		
Coordinating/managing entity (CME)	Impact Carbon		
Host Party(ies)	Kenya		
Estimated amount of annual average	CPA Ref. no.	tCO₂e	
greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reductions or GHG removals by sinks in the crediting period	9948 -0023	59,875	
(tCO2e), per CPA	9948 -0024	59,875	

Page 1 of 54 Version 02.0

CDM-CPA-VAL-FORM

	9948 -0025 9948 -0026	59,875 59,875	
	9948 -0027	<mark>59,875</mark>	
Name and UNFCCC reference number of the	Carbon Check (India) Private Ltd.		
DOE	UNFCCC Ref.No	o.: E-0052	
Name, position and signature of the approver of the validation report	Vikash Kumar Singh, Compliance officer		

Version 02.0 Page 2 of 54

SECTION A. Executive summary

>>

Impact Carbon (hereafter referred as "CME") has contracted Carbon Check (India) Private Ltd. (CCIPL) to perform the validation of the five CPAs titled, "Impact Carbon Global Safe Water Programme of Activities (PoA): CPA 23', "Impact Carbon Global Safe Water Programme of Activities (PoA): CPA 24"; "Impact Carbon Global Safe Water Programme of Activities (PoA): CPA 26" and "Impact Carbon Global Safe Water Programme of Activities (PoA): CPA 27" (hereafter called "the five CPAs") for inclusion in the registered PoA titled "Impact Carbon Global Safe Water Programme of Activities (PoA)". CCIPL was commissioned to assess the information in the CDM-CPA-DD-FORMs for the five CPAs against the requirements for including CPA to the registered PoA and further documentation requirements for including CPA to a PoA.

This report summarizes the findings of the validation of the small-scale Component Project Activity Design Document (CDM-CPA-DD-FORM), performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria for the CDM, as well as criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting and eligibility criteria for inclusion of the CPAs as established in the PoA-DD /B03/. The term "UNFCCC criteria" refers to Article 12 of the Kyoto Protocol, the CDM modalities and procedures and the simplified modalities and procedures for small scale CDM project and the subsequent decisions by the COP/MOP and CDM Executive Board. In addition to these criteria, host country criteria are also taken into account.

The assessment of a CPA requesting to be included in a PoA shall ensure that all the requirements (as defined in the form of eligibility criteria) determined in the PoA are met. The assessment was performed on the basis of the eligibility and additionality criteria established in the PoA and the UNFCCC criteria for including CPA to a Programme of Activities (PoA) under the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM), as well as criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting according to AMS-III AV, Version 4.0 /B05/.

The main objective of the PoA and the CPA(s) is promotion, distribution / installation of water purification systems (WPS) in Kenya. The WPS may consist of Chemical disinfection (chlorination) / Water filters. The CPAs will result in reduction and replacement in the amount of non-renewable biomass (fuelwood) or fossil fuels traditionally used for boiling water and making it suitable for drinking purposes. Thus, the CPA would result in reducing the impact of usage of fuel wood and other fossil fuel based sources on global warming and climate change.

The validation scope is defined as an independent and objective review of the Component Project Activity Design Documents (CPA-DDs /01-(b)/). The CPA-DDs /01-(b)/ are reviewed against the relevant UNFCCC CDM criteria for validation and registration of PoA. The validation team has, based on the recommendations in the Validation and Verification Standard for Programmes of Activities (VVS for PoAs), version 01.0 /B01-1/, employed a rule-based approach, focusing on the identification of significant risks for project implementation and the generation of CERs.

The validation is not meant to provide any consulting towards the project participants. However, stated requests for clarifications and/or corrective actions may have provided input for improvement of the project design.

While carrying out the validation, CCIPL determines if the five CPAs comply with the requirements of UNFCCC, specifically the applicability conditions of the selected methodology and also assesses the claims and assumptions made in the CPA-DDs /01-(b)/ without limitation on the information provided by the project participants.

The report is based on the assessment of the CPA-DDs /01-(b)/ undertaken through consultations with CME, application of standard auditing techniques including but not limited to document reviews,

Version 02.0 Page 3 of 54

and CME interviews, review of the applicable/applied methodology and its underlying formulae and calculations.

This report contains the findings and resolutions from the validation and a validation opinion on the proposed five CPAs thus confirming the project design as document is sound and reasonable and meets the stated requirements and identified criteria.

This is further subject to review of all supporting documents and closure of raised CARs/CLs.

SECTION B. Validation team, technical reviewer and approver

B.1. Validation team member

No.	Role		Last name	First name	Affiliation	Ir	volve	ment i	n
		Type of resource			(e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)	Desk/document review	On-site inspection	Interviews	Validation findings
1.	Team Leader / Validator / Technical Expert	IR	Agarwalla	Sanjay Kumar	CCIPL	X	X	Х	X
2.	Local Expert	EI	Muriuki	Job N	CCIPL		Χ	Χ	

B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the validation report

No.	Role	Type of	Last name	First name	Affiliation
		resource			(e.g. name of central or
					other office of DOE or
					outsourced entity)
1.	Technical reviewer	IR	Singh	Vikash Kumar	CCIPL
2.	Approver	IR			CCIPL

SECTION C. Means of validation

C.1. Desk/document review

>>

The validation was performed primarily based on the review of the CPA-DDs /01-(b)/ and the supporting documentation. This process included review of data and information presented to verify their completeness and review of the monitoring plan and monitoring methodology. Documents reviewed or referenced during the verification are listed in Appendix 3 below.

Version 02.0 Page 4 of 54

C.2. On-site inspection

	Duration of on-	site inspection: 13	3/09/2018	
No.	Activity performed on-site	Site location	Date	Team member
1.	Discussion on the baseline scenario and additionality including methodology applicability	Nairobi, Kenya	13/09/2018	Sanjay Kumar Agarwalla Job N Muriuki
2.	Discussion on sustainability aspects of the project activity and its impacts on the related stakeholders and local stake holders meeting	Nairobi, Kenya	13/09/2018	Sanjay Kumar Agarwalla Job N Muriuki
3.	Discussion on the technology involved in the CPA	Nairobi, Kenya	13/09/2018	Sanjay Kumar Agarwalla Job N Muriuki
4.	Discussion on eligibility criteria and inclusion of the CPA in the PoA	Nairobi, Kenya	13/09/2018	Sanjay Kumar Agarwalla Job N Muriuki
5.	Discussion on record keeping, monitoring plan and manual, including sampling plan (if any)	Nairobi, Kenya	13/09/2018	Sanjay Kumar Agarwalla Job N Muriuki
6.	Discussion on the specific CPA-DD	Nairobi, Kenya	13/09/2018	Sanjay Kumar Agarwalla Job N Muriuki

C.3. Interviews

<u> </u>	IIIICI VICWS					
No.		Interviewee		Date	Subject	Team member
	Last name	First name	Affiliation			
1.	Turgesen	Mark	Impact Water	13/09/2018	CME's roles and responsibilities, Sustainability development, Baseline scenario and additionality, Technology to be used in the CPAs; CPA implementation; WPS distribution procedure; Record keeping	Sanjay Kumar Agarwalla Job N Muriuki
2.	Nihar		Climate Secure Services	13/09/2018	Baseline scenario and additionality, methodology applicability, eligbility criteria for inclusion of CPAs in the PoA, Technology to be used in the CPAs; CPA implementation; WPS distribution procedure; Record keeping and monitoring plan and ER calculations	Sanjay Kumar Agarwalla Job N Muriuki

Version 02.0 Page 5 of 54

3.	Lohia	Rohit	Climate Secure Services	13/09/2018	Baseline scenario and additionality, methodology applicability, eligbility criteria for inclusion of CPAs in the	Sanjay Kumar Agarwalla
					PoA, Technology to be used in the CPAs; CPA implementation; WPS distribution procedure; Record keeping and monitoring plan and ER calculations	
4.	Nyahoro	Catherine	WPS user	13/09/2018	Usage of the WPS (Ultraflo chlorination technology)	Sanjay Kumar Agarwalla Job N Muriuki
5.	Owino	Norha	WPS user	13/09/2018	Usage of the WPS (UltraTab chlorination technology)	Sanjay Kumar Agarwalla Job N Muriuki

C.4. Sampling approachs

>>

Not Applicable

C.5. Clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs) raised

Areas of validation of compliance (SECTION D)	No. of CL	No. of CAR	No. of FAR
Titles of the CPAs and corresponding generic CPAs	-	-	-
Compliance with CPA-DD form	-	-	-
General description of the CPAs	02	-	-
Application of methodologies and standardized baselines	-	-	-
Reference to methodologies and standardized baselines	-	01	-
 Project boundary, sources and GHGs 	01	-	-
Baseline scenario	01	-	-
Estimation of emission reductions	-	-	-
 Equations and parameters applied to calculate GHG emission reductions or net anthropogenic GHG removals 	-	-	-
Data and parameters fixed ex ante	-	-	-
 Ex ante calculation of GHG emission reductions or net anthropogenic GHG removals 	-	-	-
 Summary of ex ante estimates of GHG emission reductions or net anthropogenic GHG removals 	01	-	-
Monitoring plan	-	-	-
Data and parameters to be monitored	02	01	-
Description of the monitoring plan	-	-	-
Start date, crediting period type and duration	01	-	-
Environmental impacts	-	-	-
Local stakeholder consultation	01	-	-
Eligibility for inclusion	03	-	-
Others	-	-	-
Total	12	02	-

Version 02.0 Page 6 of 54

D.1. Proposed CPAs and corresponding generic CPAs

	01710 una 001100	politing generic Ci		
Specific-case CPA title and reference number	Version number of the specific-case CPA-DD	Host Party	Generic CPA title, identification/reference number	Version number of the PoA-DD into which the CPA is included
• Impact Carbon Global Safe Water Programme of Activities (PoA): CPA 23 (9948 - 0023)	 Version 1.0, dated 06/09/2018 			
• Impact Carbon Global Safe Water Programme of Activities (PoA): CPA 24 (9948 - 0024)	 Version 1.0, dated 06/09/2018 	Kenya	Impact Carbon Global	
• Impact Carbon Global Safe Water Programme of Activities (PoA): CPA 25 (9948 - 0025)	 Version 1.0, dated 06/09/2018 		Safe Water Programme of Activities (PoA): CPA XX CPA Type 2: Technologies for institutional water consumption, no project	Version 7.0, dated 18/04/2017
• Impact Carbon Global Safe Water Programme of Activities (PoA): CPA 26 (9948 - 0026)	 Version 1.0, dated 06/09/2018 		emissions	
• Impact Carbon Global Safe Water Programme of Activities (PoA): CPA 27 (9948 - 0027)	 Version 1.0, dated 06/09/2018 			

D.2. Compliance with CPA-DD form

Means of validation	DR, I
Findings	-
Conclusion	Through means of document review and interviews with CME, the validation team considers that the description of the CPAs in the five CPA-DDs (9948-0023, 9948-0024, 9948-0025, 9948-0026 and 9948-0027) as described in the CPA-DDs /01-(b)/ is accurate and complete; meets the requirements to be included in the PoA titled "Impact Carbon Global Safe Water Programme of Activities (PoA)" /B03/ and correctly apply the baseline and monitoring methodology AMS-III.AV, Version 4.0 /B05/ and requirements of CDM VVS for PoAs (version 01.0) /B01-1/. The validation team confirms that the requirements of the CDM-CPA-DD-FORM filling guidelines /B07/ and section 8.1 of CDM VVS for PoA (version 01.0) /B01-1/

Version 02.0 Page 7 of 54

have been appropriately met.

D.3. General description of the CPAs

Means of validation	Cription of the CPAS
Findings	DR, I CL 01 and CL 02 has been raised. Refer to Appendix 4 for further details.
Conclusion	The following description of the proposed component project activities as per CPA-DDs /01-(b)/ is verified:
	The CPAs titled "Impact Carbon Global Safe Water Programme of Activities (PoA): CPA 23', "Impact Carbon Global Safe Water Programme of Activities (PoA): CPA 24"; "Impact Carbon Global Safe Water Programme of Activities (PoA): CPA 25", "Impact Carbon Global Safe Water Programme of Activities (PoA): CPA 26" and "Impact Carbon Global Safe Water Programme of Activities (PoA): CPA 27" are developed under the Small-Scale Programme of Activities (PoA) titled "Impact Carbon Global Safe Water Programme of Activities (PoA)" /B03/, which is coordinated and managed by Impact Carbon. The CPAs of the PoA involve the promotion and installation of Water purification systems in Kenya as per the CPA-DDs /01-b/. The water purification systems will consist of Chemical disinfection devices using chemical(s) to disinfect water, such as chlorination. An example of a specific brand that would be included, besides others, is Ultra Flo & Ultra Tab.
	The physical boundary of the five CPAs is geographical boundaries of Kenya. All the five CPAs are being implemented in a single host country, Kenya.
	The main objective of the PoA and the CPA(s) is promotion, distribution / installation of water purification systems (WPS) in instutitions (like days and boarding schools, prisons) in Kenya. The WPS may consist of Chemical disinfection / Water filters. The chemical disinfection devices will use chemical(s) to disinfect water, such as chlorination. An example of a specific brand that would be included, besides others, is Ultra Flo & Ultra Tab. The CPAs will result in reduction and replacement in the amount of non-renewable biomass (fuelwood) or fossil fuels traditionally used for boiling water and making it suitable for drinking purposes. Thus, the CPA would result in reducing the impact of usage of fuel wood and other fossil fuel based sources on global warming and climate change. The technology that will be included in the CPA will be suitable within the context of local water consumption practices and ensure that potable water is always available for institutional consumption. CPAs may include new technology(ies)/brand(s) in the future if it meets the technical requirements or performance criterion as mentioned in the eligibility criteria of the CPAs in the PoA-DD.
	The CPAs' implementer is Impact Water, as confirmed by reviewing the CPA-DDs /01-(b)/, agreement in between the CME and the CPA implementer /13/ and interviews with the representative of the CME during the on-site visit. The CME shall be responsible to perform quality control activities for the proposed CPAs and the same has been checked and confirmed by reviewing the CPA-DDs /01-(b)/ and interviews with the representative of the CME.
	The CPA aims to support sustainable development in the host country of Kenya. This has been confirmed through review of the Letter of Approval /xx/ dated 11/04/2017 provided by CME. There are no mandatory policies or regulations mandating the adoption of water filtration systems in institutions in Kenya. In fact, no such policies exist in Kenya according to local knowledge and sectoral expertise. Moreover, through document review and interviews, the validation team further reveals there is no mandatory regulation on the development of water filtration technology in the host country. Furthermore, based on the review of the LoA /xx/ the validation team also confirms voluntary participation of CME. Therefore, the validation team considers the CPA is a voluntary action by the CPA Implementer.
	Start date for the five CPAs is 01/04/2018 as stated in the CPA-DDs /01-(b)/. CME has considered the start date as the date on which purchase order was placed by Impact Water on XXX for the first lot of chlorination units for the five CPAs /03/. The

Version 02.0 Page 8 of 54

validation team confirms that the start date is after the start date of the PoA. This is in line with the approved revised PoA-DD /B03/ and requirements of §199 CDM VVS for PoAs, version 01 and hence deemed acceptable.

The validation team based on the review of the CPA-DDs /01-b/ and declaration from the CME /08/ confirms that there is no double counting of emission reductions due to the implementation/inclusion of the CPAs, as the CPAs do not belong to or are included in any other PoA or stand-alone CDM project. The validation team has crosschecked this from the UNFCCC website and interviews with representatives of CME and confirms that there is no double counting. Further, the double-counting risk is prevented by the unique serial number being assigned to each of the WPS to be distrubited under the CPAs /xx/. Furthermore, the validation team based on the review of CPA-DDs /01-(b)/ and CME manual /17/ confirms that in order to avoid double counting, the CME has adopted a provision of a record keeping system. The record keeping system for the proposed CPAs under the PoA includes detailed sales information collected from end-user through registration process /11/.

Duration of the crediting period for all the five CPAs was confirmed to be renewable at 7 years and is as per requirements of § 200 of CDM VVS for PoAs (version 01.0) /B01-1/.

The average annual emission reductions on account of the CPAs are estimated to be 59,785 tCO₂e for the duration of the renewable crediting period (i.e. 7 years). The validation team reviewed the CPA-DDs and the ER sheets /xx/ and confirms the same to be accurate. In addition, the steps used for ER calculations were found to be in conformance with the requirements of the methodology AMS-III.AV, version 04.0 /xx/.

Each water purification unit in these CPAs is expected to generate an estimated emission reduction of 116 tCO $_2$ e/year. In order to ensure this cap, one unit of any technology type shall not purify more than 2380,092 L/year of water. This is the maximum value for QPW $_y$, to ensure that ERs per unit do not exceed 600 tCO $_2$ equivalent/year (i.e., 1% of the threshold limit of 60,000 tCO $_2$ /year for small scale project activity). For this CPA, where R $_{y,i}$ is 2 L/person/day (for day schools) and 3.5L/person/day (for boarding schools and prisons), the maximum value for N $_{y,i}$ is 2,508 persons /institution. The steps used for ER calculations were found to be in conformance with the requirements of the methodology AMS-III.AV, Version 4.0 /B05/.

Based on the information furnished by the CME, no ODA contributes to the financing of the five CPAs /10/.

The validation team has checked that the CPAs are not a de-bundled component of large scale project or PoA in line with the Guidelines on assessment of de-bundling for SSC project activities (version 03) /B08-5/ and the same has been described/demonstrated in the CPA-DDs /01-(b)/, checked and confirmed by the validation team.

The description of the CPAs as provided in the CPA-DD /01-b/ is in accordance with the registered PoA-DD /B03/.

The validation team confirms that the description of the proposed CPAs in the CPA-DDs is accurate, complete, and provides an understanding of the proposed CPAs.

The validation team took cognizance of §184-190 of VVS for PoA (vesion 01.0) /B01-1/.

This is further subject to review of all supporting documents and closure of raised CARs/CLs.

D.4. Application of methodologies and standardized baselines

D.4.1. Reference to methodologies and standardized baselines

Means of validation DR, I

Version 02.0 Page 9 of 54

Means of validation	DR, I			
Findings	CAR 01 has been raised. Refer to Appendix 4 for further details.			
Conclusion	The validation team has reviewed the CPA-DDs/01-(b)/ along with relevant supporting documentation provided by CME in regards to the applicability of the methodology AMS III.AV, version 4.0. The compliance of the CPAs to the applicability conditions of the applied baseline and monitoring methodology /B02/ has been mentioned in the CPA-DDs /xx/. The validation team has reviewed the CPA-DDs /xx/ along with relevant supporting documentation provided by CME and the assessment (for the requirement to be checked during inclusion of CPAs in the PoA) is provided in Appendix 5.			
	Hence the validation team confirms the applicability of the applied methodlogy AMS III.AV, version 4.0 for the CPAs. This is in conformance with the requirements of §192 of CDM VVS for PoA (version 01.0) /B01-1/.			
	This is further subject to review of all supporting documents and closure of raised CARs/CLs.			

D.4.2. Project boundary, sources and GHGs

Means of validation	DR. I				
Findings	CL 03 has been raised. Refer to Appendix 4 for further details.				
Conclusion	As per the applied methodology AMS-III.AV (version 4.0), "Low greenhouse gas emitting safe drinking water production systems" /xx/, the boundary of a typical CPA under this PoA confines to "the physical, geographical sites of the low greenhouse gas emitting technologies for water purification installed by the project activity and the household/institutional buildings where the consumers of safe water provided by the systems are located" (as per §9 of the applied methodology). The information has been also correctly given in section B.2 of the CPA-DDs /xx/.				
	The physical delineation of the CPAs and the description of the emission sources ar GHGs that are included in the CPAs boundary are appropriate for the purpose calculating project and baseline emissions for the CPAs.				
	A leakage factor of 0.95 has been considered to account for use/diversion of non-renewable woody biomass saved under the project activity by non-project households/users that previously used renewable energy sources. The value has been sourced from the default value provided in the applied methodology AMS-I.E. This is in conformance with the requirements of §13 of AMS-III.AV (version 04.0) /B05/.				
	The methodology indicates CO ₂ as the only GHG from baseline as well as project activity sources to be included in the boundary. The CPAs will not involve any project emissions due to consumption of fossil fuel based electricity by the project water purification systems. Validation team confirms that the justification provided by the CME is reasonable and evidenced. Besides, there are no other sources, which are impacted by the project and not addressed by the applied methodology.				
	This is in conformance with § 16 of the applied methodology /B05/ and §193 of CDM VVS for PoAs (version 01.0) /B01-1/.				
	This is further subject to review of all supporting documents and closure of raised CARs/CLs.				

D.4.3. Baseline scenario

Means of validation	DR, I			
Findings	CL 04 has been raised. Refer to Appendix 4 for further details.			
Conclusion	For the CPAs, the baseline scenario has been identified in accordance with the § 10 of the methodology AMS III.V, Version 04 /B05/.			
	As stated in the applied methodology AMS III.AV, version 4.0 /B05/ and the CPA-DDs			

Version 02.0 Page 10 of 54

/01-(b)/, the baseline scenario would be the use fossil fuels or non-renewable biomass for boiling of water and making it suitable for drinking purposes in the host country of Kenya.
In order to verify the above description of baseline scenario, the validation team reviewed the documentary evidence ¹ which indicates that the accepted practice of treating water is by boiling using non-renewable biomass or fossil fuels.
Thus, the above baseline scenario is considered to be accurate and in conformance with the requirements of § 10 of the applied methodology /B05/ and §194 of CDM VVS for PoAs (version 01.0) /B01-1/.
This is further subject to review of all supporting documents and closure of raised CARs/CLs.

D.5. Estimation of emission reductions

D.5.1. Equations and parameters applied to calculate GHG emission reductions or net anthropogenic GHG removals

Means of validation	DR, I
Findings	-
Conclusion	The equations and choices provided in the applied methodology /B05/ are correctly quoted in the CPA-DDs /01-(b)/. The emission reductions of the CPAs of the PoA would be calculated using the formulae mentioned in the applied methodology AMS III.AV, version 04 /B05/.
	The parameters and equations presented in the PoA-DD /B03/, CPA-DDs /01-(b)/ and ER spread-sheets /02/ have been compared with the information and requirements presented in the methodology /B05/. Validation team based on the review of CPA-DDs /01-(b)/ and the ER spread sheets /02/ and other supporting documents, confirms that the formula are correctly presented for the determination of emission reductions at CPA level and the values of the input parameters used are accurate, appropriate and consistent.
	Thus, the equations and parameters applied to calculate the emission reductions are considered to be accurate and in conformance with the requirements of §196(a) of CDM VVS for PoAs (version 01.0) /B01-1/.
	This is subject to review of all the supporting documents and closure of CARs/CLs.

D.5.2. Data and parameters fixed ex ante

Means of validation	DR, I			
Findings	-			
Conclusion	Ex-ante parameters provided under section B.4.2 of the CPA-DDs /01-(b)/ are found to be appropriate and in line with the applied methodology AMS III.AV, version 04) /B05/. ex-ante parameters of the proposed CPAs is as follows:			
	Data/ Parameter	Description	Value	DOE Assessment
	Case 1 or Case 2	Case 1 or Case 2: Project activities implemented in rural or urban areas of countries with proportion of rural or urban population using	Case 1	The value of this parameter is deemed appropriate considering that in the host country of Kenya less than 60% of the institutions have access to safe

¹ http://allafrica.com/stories/201606160209.html

Version 02.0 Page 11 of 54

_

		C	DM-CPA-VAL-FORM
	an improved drinking-water source equal to or less than 60 % (Case1) or above 60% (Case2).		drinking water. This was validated based on xxxxxx. Refer to methodology applicability criteria assessment in Appendix-5 for more details.
WH	Specific heat of water	4.186 KJ/L °C	This value is the default value of the applied methodology and is deemed to be acceptable to the validation team
Tf	Final temperature	100 °C	This value is the default value of the applied methodology and is deemed to be acceptable to the validation team
Ti	Initial temperature of water	20 °C	This value is the default value of the applied methodology and is deemed to be acceptable to the validation team
WHE	Latent heat of water evaporation	2,260 KJ/L	This value is the default value of the applied methodology and is deemed to be acceptable to the validation team
L	Leakage factor	0.95	This value is the default value of the methodology AMS-I.E (as allowed by the applied AMS-III.AV) and is deemed to be acceptable to the validation team
$R_{y,i}$	Average volume of drinking water per person per day	3.5 litres/person/day (for boarding schools and prisons) and 2 litres/person/day (for day schools)	The values of this parameter have been sourced from the documents titled "Water, Sanitation, Hygiene and Habit in Prisons" (2005)² and "Minimum water quantity needed for domestic uses"³. The validation team reviewed the aforementioned documents to

Version 02.0 Page 12 of 54

https://www.icrc.org/eng/assets/files/other/icrc_002_0823.pdf
http://ec.europa.eu/echo/files/evaluation/watsan2005/annex_files/WHO/WHO5%20-%20Minimum%20water%20quantity%20needed%20for%20domestic%20use.pdf

	confirm the same and thus deems the values to be appropriate.
Thus, the data and parameters fixed ex-ante are considered conformance with the requirements of §196(b) of CDM VVS /B01-1/.	
This is subject to review of all the supporting documents and c	losure of CARs/CLs.

D.5.3. Ex ante calculation of GHG emission reductions or net anthropogenic GHG removals

Means of validation	DR, I
Findings	-
Conclusion	The equations and choices provided in the applied methodology /B05/ are correctly quoted in the CPA-DDs /01-(b)/. The emission reductions due to the CPAs have been calculated using the formulae mentioned in the applied methodology AMS III.AV, version 04 /B05/ and the registered PoA-DD /B03/. The total ex ante emission reductions resulting from each of the five CPAs for the entire first renewable crediting period of seven years is estimated to be 419,131 /02/ tCO ₂ e, leading to an annual average of 59,875 tCO ₂ e. The validation team reviewed the ER spread-sheets calculations /02/ and confirms the same to be correct.
	The validation team conducted assessment of emission reductions calculation. The parameters and equations presented in the CPA-DDs /01-(b)/, as well as other applicable documents, have been compared with the information stipulated in the methodology /B05/. The assumptions and data (both ex-ante and ex-post) used to determine the emission reductions are described in the CPA-DDs /01-(b)/ and all the sources have been checked and confirmed by validation team. Based on the reviewed information, it can be confirmed that the sources used are correctly quoted and interpreted in the CPA-DDs /01-(b)/. The values in the CPA-DDs /01-(b)/ are considered to be reasonable based on the documentation and references reviewed, as well as, the result of the interviews. The baseline methodology has been correctly applied according to the requirements.
	This is subject to review of all the supporting documents and closure of CARs/CLs.

D.5.4. Summary of ex ante estimates of GHG emission reductions or net anthropogenic GHG removals

Means of validation	DR, I
Findings	CL 05 has been raised. Refer to Appendix 4 for further details.
Conclusion	The estimation of ER values is carried out based on equations given in the applied methodology AMS III.AV, version 04 /B05/ and conforms to the requirements of section 8.3.4 (titled 'Estimation of emission reductions') of CDM VVS for PoAs, version 01.0 /B01-1/.
	The total ex ante emission reductions resulting from each of the five CPAs for the entire first renewable crediting period of seven years is estimated to be $\frac{419,131}{102}$ tCO ₂ e, leading to an annual average of $\frac{59,875}{102}$ tCO ₂ e. The validation team reviewed the ER spread-sheets calculations /02/ and confirms the same to be correct.
	This is subject to review of all the supporting documents and closure of CARs/CLs.

D.6. Monitoring plan

D.6.1. Data and parameters to be monitored

Means of validation	DR, I
Findings	CL 06, CL 07 and CAR 02 have been raised. Refer to Appendix 4 for further details.
Conclusion	The monitoring plan presented in the CPA-DDs /01-(b)/ complies with the
	requirements of the PoA-DD /B03/ and the applied monitoring methodology /B05/.

Version 02.0 Page 13 of 54

The validation team has verified all parameters in the monitoring plan against the requirements of the methodology and no deviations have been found.

The validation team through a document review and interviews with the relevant stakeholders has reviewed the procedures. The information provided has allowed the validation team to confirm that the proposed monitoring plan is feasible within the project design. The relevant points of monitoring plan have been discussed with the CME.

The parameters that are to be monitored ex-post are:

Parameter	Data unit	Description	Frequency
QPW _y	Litres/year	Quantity of purified water in year y (litres)	Annual or at least biennial
$T_{y,i}$	Number	Total distributed water purification systems	Continuously
$N_{y,i}$	Persons/eq uipment	The average population serviced by water purification systems	Continuously
Water Quality _i	proportion	Percent of units that meet water quality requirements	Annual or at least biennial
Operationa I Units _i	%	Percent of the monitoring period in which the units are in use	At least once per verification or biennially
fnrb,y	fraction	Fraction of woody biomass used in the absence of the project activity in year y that can be established as non-renewable as per the relevant provisions of "AMS-I.E: Switch from Non-Renewable Biomass for Thermal Applications by User".	Continuously or at least biennial
η _{wb}	fraction	Efficiency of water boiling system being replaced	Continuously or at least biennial
EFprojected_fo	tCO ₂ /TJ	Emission factor as per AMS-I.E procedures when NRB is displaced or the emission factor of the fossil fuel substituted	Continuously or at least biennial
Existence of public distribution network of safe drinking water	-	Existence of public distribution network of safe drinking water in year	Annual or at least biennial

In summary, the parameter(s) to be monitored have been presented correctly according to requirements and are considered in accordance with the applied methodology /B05/ and revised PoA-DD /B03/. This is in conformance with the requirements of §197(a) of CDM VVS for PoA (version 01.0) /B01-1/.

This is subject to review of all the supporting documents and closure of CARs/CLs.

D.6.2. Description of the monitoring plan

Means of validation	DR, I
Findings	-

Version 02.0 Page 14 of 54

	-
Conclusion	The monitoring plan presented in the CPA-DDs /01-(b)/ comply with the requirements of the revised PoA-DD /B03/ and the applied monitoring methodology /B05/. The validation team of CCIPL has verified all parameters in the monitoring plan against the requirements of the methodology and no deviations have been found.
	The validation team through a document review and interviews with the relevant stakeholders has reviewed the procedures. The information provided has allowed the validation team to confirm that the proposed monitoring plan is feasible within the project design. The relevant points of monitoring plan have been discussed with the CME.
	The responsibilities and institutional arrangements for data collection and archiving have been clearly provided. The information provided in the CPA-DDs /01-(b)/ could be confirmed based on the interviews and also through the submitted documentary evidence namely CME management manual /xx/ covering all requirements as stated in section B.5.1 and B.5.2 of CPA-DDs /01-(b)/. Based on the same, it can be confirmed that the CME and the CPA implementer will be able to implement the monitoring plan and the achieved emission reductions can be reported ex-post and verified.
	This is subject to review of all the supporting documents and closure of CARs/CLs.

D.7. Start date, crediting period type and duration

Means of validation	DR, I	
Findings	CL 08 has been raised. Refer to Appendix 4 for further details.	
Conclusion	Start date for the five CPAs is 01/04/2018 as stated in the CPA-DDs /01-(b)/. CME has considered the start date as the date on which purchase order was placed by the CME on xxx for the first lot of chlorination units for the five CPAs /03/. The validation team confirms that the start date is after the start date of the PoA. This is in line with the approved revised PoA-DD /B03/ and requirements of §199 CDM VVS for PoAs, version 01 and hence deemed acceptable. In addition, the duration of the crediting period for the CPAs was confirmed to be renewable at 7 years and is as per requirements of §189 of CDM PS for PoAs, version 01.0/B01-2/ and §200 of CDM VVS for PoAs, version 01.0/B01-1/. This is further subject to review of all supporting documents and closure of raised CARs/CLs.	

D.8. Environmental impacts

Means of validation	DR, I
Findings	-
Conclusion	As mentioned in the PoA-DD /B03/, the environmental impact analysis is carried out at CPA level. Validation Team confirms that conducting environment impact assessment on the project activity is not mandatory as per national regulations. The validation team reviewed the 2nd Schedule of Environmental Management and coordination Act (EMCA) 1999 (Amended 2012) /xx/ to confirm the same. Thus, considering the above, the CME has not conducted an EIA which is deemed to be acceptable to the validation team. This is in conformance with the requirements of §209 and §210 of CDM VVS for PoAs, version 01.0 /B01-1/ and deemed appropriate to the validation team.

D.9. Local stakeholder consultation

Means of validation	DR, I	
Findings	CL 09 has been raised in this regard. Refer to Appendix-4 for further details.	
Conclusion	It has been indicated in the PoA-DD that the local stakeholder consultation will been	
	done at the CPA level. For the current CPAs, the LSC was conducted on 24/11/2016	
	at 9:30 AM at Visa Oshwal Primary School, Mpaka Road, Westlands, Nairobi,	
	Kenya. Local stakeholders were invited through emails, by post and through local	

Version 02.0 Page 15 of 54

volunteers. In addition, an invitation was also made through a public notice in the local newspaper. Comments were invited from stakeholders that physically attended the meeting and through email/telephone for those who couldn't be present. The summary of the comments received during the consultation process is complete and CME has taken appropriate steps to address each query/concern and gathered feedback.
The above has been confirmed by review of the LSC Report /xx/ and related documents /xx/ as well as the CPA-DDs /xx/.
This is deemed appropriate in the context of the PoA and is in accordance with the requirement of § 58 of CDM VVS for PoAs, version 01.0. /B01-1/.
This is subject to review of all the supporting documents and closure of CARs/CLs

D.10. Eligibility for inclusion

Means of validation	DR, I			
Findings	CL 10, CL 11 and CL 12 have been raised in this regard. Refer to Appendix-4 for			
	further details.			
Conclusion	All the eligibility criteria required for the inclusion of the CPAs under the PoA have been addressed in the CPA-DDs /01-(b)/. The stated confirmation against each eligibility criteria has been checked / assessed and found acceptable by the validation team and complete assessment is provided in Appendix 7. This is subject to review of all the supporting documents and closure of CAPs/CLs.			
	This is subject to review of all the supporting documents and closure of CARs/CLs			

SECTION E. Internal quality control

>>

The final validation report has passed a technical review before being submitted to the project participant(s) and UNFCCC Executive Board. The technical review was performed by a technical reviewer qualified in accordance with CCIPL's qualification scheme for CDM validation and verification.

SECTION F. Validation opinion

>>

Under the validation (by means of document review and interviews with stakeholders), the validation team considers that the description of CPAs titled "Impact Carbon Global Safe Water Programme of Activities (PoA): CPA 23', "Impact Carbon Global Safe Water Programme of Activities (PoA): CPA 24"; "Impact Carbon Global Safe Water Programme of Activities (PoA): CPA 26" and "Impact Carbon Global Safe Water Programme of Activities (PoA): CPA 26" and "Impact Carbon Global Safe Water Programme of Activities (PoA): CPA 27" as described in the CPA-DDs /01-(b)/ is accurate and complete; meets the requirements to be included in the PoA titled "Impact Carbon Global Safe Water Programme of Activities (PoA)" /B03/ and correctly applies the baseline and monitoring methodology AMS III.AV, Version 04 /B05/.

Standard auditing techniques have been used for the validation of the project. An analysis, as provided by the applied methodology, demonstrates that the proposed CPAs are not a likely baseline scenario. Emission reductions attributable to the CPAs are additional to any that would occur in the absence of the project activity. Given that the CPAs are implemented as designed, the project is likely to achieve the estimated amount of emission reductions as specified within the CPA-DDs /01-(b)/.

The validation is based on the information made available to CCIPL, as well as the engagement conditions detailed in this report. The validation has been performed following the CDM VVS for PoAs requirements /B01-1/.

The validation was executed in the following steps so far:

- Receipt of CPA-DDs /01-(a)/
- Desk review of revised CPA-DDs
- Issue of checklist with corrective action requests (CARs) and clarification requests (CLs) and the draft validation report
- Interview with the CME
- Follow up actions (interviews) for cross checking data

Version 02.0 Page 16 of 54

- Review of responses for CARs/CLs
- Issue of the final validation report

The CPAs correctly apply the baseline and monitoring methodology of the PoA namely AMS III.AV, version 04, "Low greenhouse gas emitting safe drinking water production systems" /B05/.

The validation did not reveal any information that indicates that the CPAs can be seen as a diversion of ODA funding.

The CPA-DDs contain monitoring plan for the monitoring of the emission reductions from the project. The monitoring arrangements described in the monitoring plan are feasible within the project design and it is CCIPL's opinion that the project participants are able to implement the monitoring plan.

By distribution and operation of water purification systems, the project activity will result in reductions of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions that are real, measurable and provide long-term benefits to the mitigation of climate change.

During the course of validation a total of two (02) Corrective Action Requests (CARs) and twelve (12) Clarification Requests (CLs), for the CPAs, were identified on the initially submitted CPA-DDs /01-(a)/ which need to be resolved by the CME.

The single purpose of this report is its use during the inclusion process (of the specific CPAs). The review of the CPA-DDs /01-(b)/, subsequent follow-up interviews and further verification of references have provided CCIPL, with sufficient evidence to determine the fulfilment of stated criteria in the PoA-DD /B03/ and the CPA-DDs /01-(b)/. In the opinion of CCIPL, the CPAs meet all relevant UNFCCC requirements for the CDM if the underlying assumptions do not change. CCIPL recommends the five CPAs for inclusion in the registered PoA.

Version 02.0 Page 17 of 54

Appendix 1. Abbreviations

Abbreviations	Full Texts		
BE	Baseline Emission		
CAR	Corrective Action Request		
CCIPL	Carbon Check (India) Private Ltd.		
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism		
CDM EB	CDM Executive Board		
CER	Certified Emission Reduction		
CPA	Component Project Activity		
CPA-DD	Component Project Activity Design Document		
CL	Clarification Request		
CME	Co-ordinating or Managing Entity		
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide		
CO ₂ e	Carbon Dioxide Equivalent		
COP/MOP	Conference of Parties/ Meeting of Parties		
DNA	Designated National Authority		
DOE	Designated Operational Entity		
DR	Document Review		
EB	Executive Board		
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment		
ER	Emission Reduction		
FAO	Food and Agricultural Organization		
FAR	Forward Action Request		
GHG	Greenhouse Gas		
GWh	Giga Watt Hours		
	Interview		
ICS	Improved cook stoves		
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change		
kW	Kilo Watt		
kWh	Kilo Watt Hours		
L	Leakage		
LSC	Local Stakeholder Consultation		
MoV	Means of Verification		
MoC	Modalities of Communications		
MW	Mega Watt		
MWh	Mega Watt Hours		
NCV	Net Calorific Value		
NRB	Non-renewable Biomass		
ODA	Official Development Assistance		
OSV	On Site Visit		
PE	Project Emission		
PoA	Programme of Activities		
PoA-DD	Programme of Activities design document		
PP	Project Participant		
PS	Project Standard		
SD	Sustainable Development		
t	Tonne		
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change		
WPS	Water purification system		
VVS	Validation and Verification Standard		

Version 02.0 Page 18 of 54

Appendix 2. Competence of team members and technical reviewers

To be provided with FVR

Version 02.0 Page 19 of 54

Appendix 3. Documents reviewed or referenced

No.	Author Title		References to the document	Provider
/01/	Impact	a) Initial CPA-DDs:	Version 1.0,	CME
	Carbon	i. CPA 023	dated	
		ii. CPA 024	06/09/2018	
		iii.CPA 025		
		iv.CPA 026 v. CPA 027		
		b) Final CPA-DDs:	Version 2.0,	-
		i. CPA 023	dated xxxx	
		ii. CPA 024	datod XXX	
		iii. CPA 025		
		iv. CPA 026		
		v. CPA 027		
/02/	Impact	Emission reduction calculation spread-sheets for:		CME
	Carbon	i. CPA 023		
		ii. CPA 024		
		iii. CPA 025		
		iv. CPA 026		
/03/	Impact	v. CPA 027 Evidence for the start date of the five CPAs (purchase order	Dated:	CME
703/	Carbon	for the chlorination units)	01/04/2018	OIVIL
/04/	Relevant	Certificate of Incorporation of the CME (Impact Carbon) and	0.70.720.0	CME
	country	CPA implementer (Impact Water Kenya)		
	Govt.	, ,		
	Authority			
/05/	XXXX	Evidence for the technical specifications of the water	-	CME
		purification system – chlorination system (Ultra Flo and Ultra		
		Tab) to be distributed in the five CPAs including the project		
/06/	Impact	lifetime		CME
/06/	Impact Carbon	Evidence for the sample unique serial numbering for the water purification units to be distributed in the CPAs		CIVIE
/07/	Impact	Endorsement letter received from xxx confirming project		CME
, 0.,	Carbon	technology compliance with WHO safe water guidelines		0
/08/	Impact	A self-declaration from CME stating that the five CPAs are	Letter dated xxx	CME
	Carbon	not registered as any other individual CDM projects and are		
		not CPAs in any other PoA		
/09/	World Health	Evaluating household water treatment options: Health-	Published by	
	Organization	based targets and microbiological performance	World Health	CME
/4.0./		specifications (WHO)	Organization	CNIE
/10/	Impact	A self-declaration from the CME confirming that the five	Letter dated xx	CME
	Carbon	CPAs do not use any investment which leads to diversion of ODA funds		
/11/	Impact	Sample template agreements of CME with technology		CME
,	Carbon	supplier and owner of each individual WPS clearly indicating		
		the transfer of right of carbon credits to CME (eligibility		
		criterion number 2 for avoiding double counting)		
/12/	Impact	Sample snapshots from Sales Force for demonstration of	-	CME
/	Carbon	data management system		01.1=
/13/	Impact	Agreement copy in between CME (Impact Carbon) and CPA	-	CME
/4.4./	Carbon	implementer (Impact Water Kenya)		CME
/14/	Impact Carbon	Sample installation records	-	CME
/15/	Impact	Organizations chart for the PoA / CPA implementation and	_	CME
, 13/	Carbon	monitoring		OIVIL
/16/	Impact	Training manual, plans and records including project	-	CME
1	Carbon	technology operation manual		

Version 02.0 Page 20 of 54

CDM-CPA-VAL-FORM

			CDM-CPA-VAL	-FORIVI
/17/	Impact Carbon	CME manual	-	CME
/18/	Impact Carbon	Implementation schedule for the five CPAs with evidence for the 1st installation date for Ultra Flo and Ultra Tab	-	CME
/19/	Impact Carbon	Documents pertaining to Local Stakeholder Consultation (LSC): a) LSC Report b) Copy of Power Point Presentation c) List of attendees d) Meeting notes	-	CME
/20/	Impact Carbon	Records of the water purification units distributed till date in the CPAs	-	CME
/21/	National Environment Management Authority (Kenya)	Letter of Approval dated 11/04/2017	Ref. No. NEMA/10/3/VOL .XII dated 11/04/2017	CME
/22/	National Council for Law Reporting, The Republic of Kenya	Environmental Management and Co-Ordination Act (Revised edition 2012), Kenya	Laws of Kenya, Chapter 387 http://kenyalaw. org/kl/	CME
/23/	World Health Organization	WHO guidelines for accessing public distribution networks: 'Manual for Sanitation Inspection and Water Quality Analysis'	-	CME
/24/	Kenya National Bureau of Statistics	Kenya Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) - 2014	Implemented by the Kenya National Bureau of Statistics. Document published on December 2015	CME
/25/		Evidence for each of the applicability criteria of the methodology		
/26/		Evidence for each of the eligibility criteria for inclusion of the CPAs in the PoA		
/27/		Feasibility Study / Official publications (e.g. from WHO) to demonstrate eligibility criterion 6		
/28/		Evidence for eligibility criterion 7 as stated in the CPA-DDs		
/29/		Sample sales receipts copies (for eligibility criteria number 8, 9, 13)		
/B01/	UNFCCC	 CDM Validation and Verification Standard for Programme of Activities (Version 01.0). CDM Project Standard for Programme of Activities (Version 01.0) CDM Project Cycle Procedure for Programme of Activities (Version 01.0) 	http://cdm.unfcc c.int/	UNFCCC
/B02/	UNFCCC	UNFCC project page weblink: For the PoA 9948: https://cdm.unfccc.int/ProgrammeOfActivities/poa_db/5J36lFUKQVNMRA0OZPGLH9C7STED1W/view	http://cdm.unfcc c.int/	UNFCCC
/B03/	Impact Carbon	Approved Revised PoA-DD version 7.0, dated 18/04/2017 (PoA reference number 9948)	http://cdm.unfcc c.int/	UNFCCC
/B04/				
/B05/	UNFCCC	AMS III.AV. Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass (version 04)	http://cdm.unfcc c.int/	UNFCCC

Version 02.0 Page 21 of 54

/B06/	UNFCCC		http://cdm.unfcc c.int/	UNFCCC
/B07/	UNFCCC	Component project activity design document form for CDM component project activities (CDM-CPA-DD-FORM), (Version 08.1) Instructions for filling out the component project design document form for CDM component project activities (Version 08.1)	http://cdm.unfcc c.int/	UNFCCC
/B08/	UNFCCC	PoA Specific guidelines / standards / Forms published by UNFCCC: 1. PoA Standard: Demonstration of additionality, development of eligibility criteria and application of multiple methodologies for programme of activities (version 3.0) 2. Standard for Sampling and Surveys for CDM Project Activities and Programme of Activities (EB 74, Annex 6) 3. Guidelines on assessment of debundling for SSC project activities (Version 03.1)	http://cdm.unfcc c.int/	UNFCCC
/B09/	UNFCCC	Glossary of CDM terms (version 09.1)	http://cdm.unfcc	UNFCCC
			<u>c.int/</u>	

Appendix 4. Clarification requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1. CLs from this validation

CL ID	CL 01	Section no.	D.3	Date: 21/09/2018	
Description	of CL				
	confirm the CPA imple	ementers in Sect	ion A.5 of the CPA-DDs and pr	ovide the evidence of its	
legal status.					
CME respons	se			Date:	
Documentati	on provided by the CI	ME			
DOE assessment Date:					

CL ID	CL 02	Section no.	D.3	Date: 21/09/2018		
Description of	of CL					
On reviewing of UNFCCC web site it is found that there are other water purification projects in Kenya. In this respect the CME needs to justify the statement in section A.7 of the CPA-DDs "The assessment of former project activities in the proposed CPA boundary shows that there is no other project in Kenya that shares common resource with the proposed CPA".						
CME respons	CME response Date:					
Documentation provided by the CME						
DOE assessr	nent			Date:		
			·			

CL ID	CL 03	Section no.	D.4.3	Date: 21/09/2018
Description	of CL			

Version 02.0 Page 22 of 54

In section B.2 of the CPA-DDs, for "Emissions from electricity usage for water purification technologies" it is stated as "yes". Also, in section B.3 of the CPA-DDs it is stated "The project technology is reducing the fuel emissions (GHG emissions due to burning of fossil fuels and charcoal), by providing purified water with or without use of electricity". CME to confirm whether the WPS in the CPAs will use electricity.

Clarification is requested considering that the CPA type 2 being considered for these CPAs are "Technologies for Institutional water consumption, <u>no project emission</u>".

CME response			Date:		
Documen	tation provided I	by the CME			
DOE asse	essment			Date:	
CLID	CL 04	Section no	D 4 3	Date: 21/00/2018	

Description of CL				
CME needs to provide evidence for the baseline scenario as stated in sectio	n A.3 and B.3 of the			
CPA-DDs in line with the applied methodology. For the ex-ante fixed parame	eter "Case 1 or Case 2",			
CME needs to clearly state the option applied for the CPA in the row " Choic	e of data or measurement			
methods and procedures" and provide credible evidence for its application.				
CME response	Date:			
Documentation provided by the CME				
DOE assessment	Date:			

CL ID	CL 05	Section no.	D.5.4	Date: 21/09/2018	
Description of	of CL				
In section B.	4.4 of the CPA-DDs,	the arithmetic	difference of Baseline Emiss	ions and Leakage does	
not lead to E	Emission reduction.				
CME respons	CME response Date:			Date:	
Documentati	Documentation provided by the CME				
DOE assessment Date:				Date:	
	·				

CL ID	CL 06	Section no.	D.6.1	Date: 21/09/2018	
Description of	of CL				
Based on int	erviews with the CME	E it is understo	od that the Chlorine concent	ration of 0.5 to 2 ppm in	
the treated v	vater is deemed to be	e safe for drinki	ng. CME is requested to cla	rify with evidence	
whether this	is in line with WHO /	National stand	lard. Accordingly, section B.	5.1 of the CPA-DDs for	
the monitoring	ng parameter "Water	quality measur	ement" needs to be updated	l.	
CME respons	se		·	Date:	
Documentation provided by the CME					
DOE assessment Date:					

CL ID	CL 07	Section no.	D.6.1	Date: 21/09/2018	
Description	of CL				
For the monitoring parameter "f _{NRB,y} ", "Measurement methods and procedures" is not as per the registered PoA-DD.					
CME response Date:					
Documentation provided by the CME					

Version 02.0 Page 23 of 54

				CDIVI-CFA-VAL-I ORIVI
DOE assess	ment			Date:
CL ID	CL 08	Section no.	D.7	Date: 21/09/2018
		Section no.	D.I	Date. 21/09/2016
Description		 		
CME needs	to provide the object	tive evidence fo	or the start dates for each of	the CPAs (copy of
purchase of	rder with the technological	oav supplier).		
CME respon		37 - 11 - 7		Date:
OME respon				Date.
Decumentes	tion provided by the C	·MT		
Documentat	tion provided by the C	-IVI E		
DOE assess	ment			Date:
CL ID	CL 09	Section no.	D.9	Date: 21/09/2018
_		Section no.	D.9	Date: 21/09/2010
Description				
CME is requ	uested to provide the	evidence for lo	cal stakeholders consultation	on meeting for the CPAs to
be included	l in Kenya.			
CME respon	ise			Date:
Documentet	tion provided by the C	ME		
Documentat	non provided by the C	IVIE		
DOE assess	ment			Date:
CL ID	CL 10	Section no.	D.10	Date: 21/09/2018
Description			12.10	
		lity oritorion num	har 2 for double counting will k	as mot for the CDAs as stated
			ber 2 for double counting will t	be met for the CPAs as stated
	Ds and provide eviden	ce for the same.		
CME respon	ise			Date:
_				Date:
_	ise tion provided by the C	CME		Date:
_		CME		Date:
Documentat	tion provided by the C	CME		Date:
_	tion provided by the C	CME		
Documentat	tion provided by the C	CME		
Documentat DOE assess	cion provided by the Comment		D 40	Date:
Documentat DOE assess CL ID	ment	Section no.	D.10	
DOE assess CL ID Description	ment CL 11 of CL	Section no.		Date: 21/09/2018
DOE assess CL ID Description	ment CL 11 of CL	Section no.		Date: 21/09/2018
DOE assess CL ID Description In section A.	ion provided by the Coment CL 11 of CL . 3 of the CPA-DDS, (Section no. CME is request	ted to provide the exact tech	Date: 21/09/2018 nnical specifications of the
DOE assess CL ID Description In section A. technology	CL 11 of CL planned to be implem	Section no. CME is request		Date: 21/09/2018 nnical specifications of the
DOE assess CL ID Description In section A. technology criterion nur	CL 11 of CL 3 of the CPA-DDS, of planned to be implement of the control of the co	Section no. CME is request	ted to provide the exact tech	Date: Date: 21/09/2018 Inical specifications of the onfirming to the eligibility
DOE assess CL ID Description In section A. technology	CL 11 of CL 3 of the CPA-DDS, of planned to be implement of the control of the co	Section no. CME is request	ted to provide the exact tech	Date: 21/09/2018 nnical specifications of the
DOE assess CL ID Description In section A. technology criterion nur CME respon	CL 11 of CL planned to be implement as a see	Section no. CME is request nented in the C	ted to provide the exact tech	Date: Date: 21/09/2018 Inical specifications of the onfirming to the eligibility
DOE assess CL ID Description In section A. technology criterion nur CME respon	CL 11 of CL 3 of the CPA-DDS, of planned to be implement of the control of the co	Section no. CME is request nented in the C	ted to provide the exact tech	Date: Date: 21/09/2018 Inical specifications of the onfirming to the eligibility
DOE assess CL ID Description In section A. technology criterion nur CME respon	CL 11 of CL planned to be implement as a see	Section no. CME is request nented in the C	ted to provide the exact tech	Date: Date: 21/09/2018 Inical specifications of the onfirming to the eligibility
Documentat DOE assess CL ID Description In section A. technology criterion nur CME respon Documentat	ion provided by the Coment CL 11 of CL 3 of the CPA-DDS, of planned to be implementable as the complete of th	Section no. CME is request nented in the C	ted to provide the exact tech	Date: Date: 21/09/2018 Innical specifications of the onfirming to the eligibility Date:
DOE assess CL ID Description In section A. technology criterion nur CME respon	ion provided by the Coment CL 11 of CL 3 of the CPA-DDS, of planned to be implementable as the complete of th	Section no. CME is request nented in the C	ted to provide the exact tech	Date: Date: 21/09/2018 Inical specifications of the onfirming to the eligibility
Documentat DOE assess CL ID Description In section A. technology criterion nur CME respon Documentat	ion provided by the Coment CL 11 of CL 3 of the CPA-DDS, of planned to be implementable as the complete of th	Section no. CME is request nented in the C	ted to provide the exact tech	Date: Date: 21/09/2018 Innical specifications of the onfirming to the eligibility Date:
DOE assess CL ID Description In section A. technology criterion nur CME respon Documentat DOE assess	CL 11 of CL . 3 of the CPA-DDS, oplanned to be implemented assection provided by the Commented by the Commented assection provided assection provided assection provided assection provided by the Commented assection provided assection pro	Section no. CME is request nented in the C	ted to provide the exact tech PAs along with evidences c	Date: Date: 21/09/2018 Innical specifications of the onfirming to the eligibility Date: Date:
DOE assess CL ID Description In section A. technology criterion nur CME respon Documentat DOE assess	CL 11 of CL planned to be implement assection provided by the Comment asse	Section no. CME is request nented in the C	ted to provide the exact tech	Date: Date: 21/09/2018 Innical specifications of the onfirming to the eligibility Date:
DOE assess CL ID Description In section A. technology criterion nur CME respon Documentat DOE assess	CL 11 of CL planned to be implement assection provided by the Comment asse	Section no. CME is request nented in the C	ted to provide the exact tech PAs along with evidences c	Date: Date: 21/09/2018 Innical specifications of the onfirming to the eligibility Date: Date:
Documentate DOE assess CL ID Description In section A. technology criterion nur CME respon Documentate DOE assess CL ID Description	ion provided by the Coment CL 11 of CL 3 of the CPA-DDS, oplanned to be implemented as the complete section provided by the Comented CL 12 of CL 12 of CL	Section no. CME is request nented in the Commentation of the Comm	ted to provide the exact tech PAs along with evidences c	Date: 21/09/2018 nnical specifications of the confirming to the eligibility Date: Date: Date: 21/09/2018
Documentate DOE assess CL ID Description In section A. technology criterion nur CME respon Documentate DOE assess CL ID Description CME is requ	ion provided by the Coment CL 11 of CL . 3 of the CPA-DDS, of planned to be implemented as the complete of the comented by t	Section no. CME is request nented in the Classical Control of the Clas	ted to provide the exact tech PAs along with evidences c D.10	Date: 21/09/2018 nnical specifications of the onfirming to the eligibility Date: Date: Date: 21/09/2018 ey Report" for
DOE assess CL ID Description In section A. technology criterion nur CME respon Documentat DOE assess CL ID Description CME is required demonstrat	ion provided by the Coment CL 11 of CL 3 of the CPA-DDS, or planned to be implemented as the complemented by the Comented b	Section no. CME is request nented in the Classical Control of the Clas	ted to provide the exact tech PAs along with evidences c	Date: 21/09/2018 nnical specifications of the onfirming to the eligibility Date: Date: Date: 21/09/2018 ey Report" for
DOE assess CL ID Description In section A. technology criterion nur CME respon Documentat DOE assess CL ID Description CME is required demonstrat criterion 6).	ion provided by the Coment CL 11 of CL . 3 of the CPA-DDS, of planned to be implemented as a section provided by the Comented CL 12 of CL uested to provide the ion of project falling uses	Section no. CME is request nented in the Classical Control of the Clas	ted to provide the exact tech PAs along with evidences c D.10	Date: 21/09/2018 Innical specifications of the onfirming to the eligibility Date: Date: Date: 21/09/2018 Pare: 21/09/2018 The properties of the eligibility of
DOE assess CL ID Description In section A. technology criterion nur CME respon Documentat DOE assess CL ID Description CME is required demonstrat	ion provided by the Coment CL 11 of CL . 3 of the CPA-DDS, of planned to be implemented as a section provided by the Comented CL 12 of CL uested to provide the ion of project falling uses	Section no. CME is request nented in the Classical Control of the Clas	ted to provide the exact tech PAs along with evidences c D.10	Date: 21/09/2018 nnical specifications of the onfirming to the eligibility Date: Date: Date: 21/09/2018 ey Report" for
DOE assess CL ID Description In section A. technology criterion nur CME respon Documentat DOE assess CL ID Description CME is required demonstrat criterion 6).	ion provided by the Coment CL 11 of CL . 3 of the CPA-DDS, of planned to be implemented as a section provided by the Comented CL 12 of CL uested to provide the ion of project falling uses	Section no. CME is request nented in the Classical Control of the Clas	ted to provide the exact tech PAs along with evidences c D.10	Date: 21/09/2018 Innical specifications of the onfirming to the eligibility Date: Date: Date: 21/09/2018 Pare: 21/09/2018 The properties of the eligibility of
DOE assess CL ID Description In section A. technology criterion nur CME respon Documentat DOE assess CL ID Description CME is required demonstrat criterion 6). CME respon	ion provided by the Coment CL 11 of CL . 3 of the CPA-DDS, oplanned to be implemented as the complete section provided by the Coment CL 12 of CL uested to provide the ion of project falling use	Section no. CME is request nented in the Classical Control of the Clas	ted to provide the exact tech PAs along with evidences c D.10	Date: 21/09/2018 Innical specifications of the onfirming to the eligibility Date: Date: Date: 21/09/2018 Pare: 21/09/2018 The properties of the eligibility of
DOE assess CL ID Description In section A. technology criterion nur CME respon Documentat DOE assess CL ID Description CME is required demonstrat criterion 6). CME respon	ion provided by the Coment CL 11 of CL . 3 of the CPA-DDS, of planned to be implemented as a section provided by the Comented CL 12 of CL uested to provide the ion of project falling uses	Section no. CME is request nented in the Classical Control of the Clas	ted to provide the exact tech PAs along with evidences c D.10	Date: 21/09/2018 Innical specifications of the onfirming to the eligibility Date: Date: Date: 21/09/2018 Pare: 21/09/2018 The properties of the eligibility of
Documentate DOE assess CL ID Description In section A. technology criterion nur CME respon Documentate DOE assess CL ID Description CME is required demonstrate criterion 6). CME respon	ion provided by the Coment CL 11 of CL . 3 of the CPA-DDS, of planned to be implemented as the complex of the complex of the comented by the Comented by the Comented to provide the ion of project falling the composite of the complex of the compl	Section no. CME is request nented in the Classical Control of the Clas	ted to provide the exact tech PAs along with evidences c D.10	Date: 21/09/2018 Innical specifications of the onfirming to the eligibility Date: Date: Date: 21/09/2018 Pare: 21/09/2018 The properties of the eligibility of

Version 02.0 Page 24 of 54

Table 2. CARs from this validation

CAR ID	CAR 01	Section no.	D.4.1	Date: 21/09/2018	
Description	of CAR				
Demonstration of meth applicability criteria has not been demonstrated in the CPA-DDs (Cp section B.2 of the generic CPA in the PoA-DD).					
			Date:		
Documentation provided by the CME					
DOE assessment				Date:	

CAR ID	CAR 02	Section no.	D.6.1	Date: 21/09/2018	
Description	of CAR				
CME needs	to clarify the reason of	of excluding the	monitoring of "Existence of	public distribution	
network of s	afe drinking water" w	hich is the requ	irement of paragraph 2 (a) o	of the applied	
methodology	and also part of the	generic CPA-D	DD.		
CME respons	se			Date:	
Documentation provided by the CME					
DOE assessment Date:					

Table 3. FARs from this validation

FAR ID	XX	Section No.		Date: DD/MM/YYYY	
Description	of FAR				
-					
CME respon	se			Date: DD/MM/YYYY	
-	-				
Documentati	Documentation provided by CME				
-					
DOE assess	ment			Date: DD/MM/YYYY	
-					

Version 02.0 Page 25 of 54

Appendix 5. Assessment of methodology applicability of the CPA

Applicability criteria of AMS III.AV (version 04)	CME Justification	Assessment of DOE
Prior to the implementation of the project activity, a public distribution network supplying safe drinking water (SDW) to the project boundary does not exist. If during the crediting period SDW is made available through a public distribution network, the emission reductions pertaining to the households/buildings supplied by the public system cannot be claimed from that point onwards. This condition should be checked annually during the monitoring period.	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs
It shall be demonstrated based on laboratory testing or official notifications (for example notifications from the national authority on health) that the application of the project technology/equipment achieves compliance either with: (i) at a minimum the performance target as per "Evaluating household water treatment options: Health based targets and microbiological performance specifications" (WHO, 2011); or (ii) an applicable national standard or guideline	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs
In cases where the life span of the water treatment technologies is shorter than the crediting period of the project activity, there shall be documented measures in place to ensure that end users have access to replacement purification systems of comparable quality.	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs
Applicability of this methodology is foreseen in the following types of situations that shall be reassessed at the beginning of each crediting period: (a) Case 1: Project activities implemented in rural or urban areas	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs

Version 02.0 Page 26 of 54

		CDIVI-CFA-VAL-I ONIVI
of countries with proportion of rural or urban population using an improved drinking-water source equal to or less than 60 per cent confirmed by one of the three options below: (i) Proportion of populations using an improved drinking-water source for the most recent year for which data is available from WHO/UNICEF Joint Monitoring Programme (JMP) for Water Supply and Sanitation shall be used (http://www.wssinfo.org/data-estimates/table/) for this purpose. Definition of improved and unimproved drinking water source shall be as per the information provided by JMP; (ii) Using official data such as publicly available statistical data from a government agency or an independently commissioned study by an international organization or a university; (iii)_Using survey methods (use 90/10 confidence/precision for acmplical):		
sampling); (b) Case 2: Project activities implemented in areas not included in Case 1.		
The use of this methodology in a project activity under a programme of activities is legitimate if the leakage is estimated and accounted for as per the relevant provisions of AMS-I.E under the section for programme of activities.	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs

Appendix 6. Assessment of compliance with minimum technical specifications criteria

Technology specification criteria	Minimum specifications	Actual project technology specifications	Assessment by DOE
Flow rate	<u>50 L/hr</u>	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs
Capacity/lifespan	219,000 L or 1year	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs
Fixed or portable	Fixed	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs
Removal of E.coli	99 (4-log)	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs
Watts/Voltage	5	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs

Version 02.0 Page 27 of 54

Appendix 7. Assessment on CMEs demonstration of CPAs compliance with the eligibility criteria

S.No.	Eligibility Criteria Category	Description	CPA Indicator	DOE Assessment
1	Location	All water purification systems in each CPA are located within the geographical boundary of Kenya.	✓ Verifiable evidence: - Geographical reference points of borders in section A.7 of the CPADD.	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs
2	Double Counting	Each water purification system has a unique serial number and programme logo engraved or permanently attached as a nameplate or sticker. The serial numbers are listed in the CPA Project database and recorded in the Sales Receipt. [and] The name of each end-user (or individual who purchased product for institution or community center) will be recorded as part of the Sales Receipt and CPA Project Database. The address will be recorded if possible, alternatively other means of locating the unit such as GPS can be used. [and] The CME has an agreement in place with owner of each individual water purification system in the CPA in which the owner transfers the rights to the emissions reductions exclusively to the CME as part of the Carbon Rights Waiver within the Sales Receipt. [and] The CME has an agreement in place with each technology supplier in which it is stated that the supplier transfers the	Verifiable evidence: - Operations Manual, documented procedures - Example of sales receipt/CRW. - Agreement with technology supplier(s).	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs

Version 02.0 Page 28 of 54

CDM-CPA-VAL-FORM

				ODIVI-OI A-VAL-I OINVI
	Tankanlana	rights to the emissions reductions of each water purification system exclusively to the CME.		
3	Technology	Each CPA will employ water purification systems that are point-of-use or point-of-entry treatment systems, and are in line with criterion 7). Each unit must achieve water quality defined in relevant national standards or international guidelines for drinking water quality. The technologies must meet minimum criteria for specific	Verifiable evidence: - Technological specifications of technology	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs
		CPA type, as outlined below: CPA type 3: Technologies for institutional water consumption, with project emissions- • Minimum flow rate: 50 L/hr- • Minimum capacity/lifespan: 219,000 L or 1year- • Fixed or portable: Fixed- • Removal of E.coli: 99 (4-log)- • Minimum Watts/Voltage: 5		
4	Start Date	Each CPA will prove that the start date of the CPA is on or after the start date of the PoA, which is stated in section D.1 of the PoA-DD The start date of the CPA is the date on which the first water purification systems to be included in the CPA are ordered from the manufacturer. This is the earliest date at which real action of the program activity was taken, on which the CME committed expenditures related to implementation with the purchase of the first units for the project activity. This is	✓ Verifiable evidence: - Purchase order to technology supplier	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs

Version 02.0 Page 29 of 54

CDM-CPA-VAL-FORM

				ODIVI-OI A-VAL-I OINVI
		documented in the purchase		
		order or contract agreement with the technology supplier.		
5	Methodology	Each CPA will apply the	V	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs
		baseline and monitoring methodology AMS-III.AV (version 4). The CPA will introduce water purification systems to provide safe drinking water to households, institutions and/or communities.	Verifiable evidence: - Technological specifications document(s)	CAR/CLS
6	Methodology	Prior to the implementation of the project activity, a public distribution network of safe drinking water did not exist within the project boundary. If, during the crediting period, any users are provided with safe drinking water through a public distribution network, these users will be removed from the CPA. This will be monitored annually.	Verifiable evidence: - Feasibility study or - National reports or - Official publications (e.g. from WHO) or - Water quality Tests or - Interviews with public officials, NGOs, end-users	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs
7	Methodology	The water purification technology/equipment must achieve compliance with either: (a) a relevant national standard or (b) The interim performance targets as per "Evaluating household water treatment options: Health based targets and microbiological performance specifications" (WHO 2011)	Verifiable evidence: Laboratory test report and/or official notifications (e.g. from national authority on health). Technical specifications document(s)	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs
8	Methodology	In the case that the life span of water treatment technologies is less than the length of the crediting period, all users (or individual who purchased product for institution or community center) will be	✓ Verifiable evidence: - Sales Receipt template	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs

Version 02.0 Page 30 of 54

-		ODINI-OI A-VAL-I OIKIN
	provided with the contact details (phone number, email address and name) of the CME/CPA Implementer from whom replacement systems can be obtained via the Sales Receipt. The contact information provided for the CME or CPA implementer is a mobile number which is registered to the company and should not change. However, if a change is made to the contact information, (a) all users (or individual who purchased product for institution or community center) for whom contact information was collected will receive notification via SMS with	
9 Additionality		 To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs

Version 02.0 Page 31 of 54

CDM-CPA-VAL-FORM

				ODINI-OI A-VAL-I OININ
		- the users of the water purification systems are either households, institutions, or communities - the size of each unit is no larger than 5% of the small-scale CDM threshold or 3,000 tCO2e reduced per year	Sales receipt template for specifying user group	
			- Emissions Reductions calculations spreadsheet demonstrating ERs per unit	
10	Local Stakeholder Consultation	A local stakeholder consultation has been carried out for the CPA.	✓ Verifiable evidence: Local stakeholder consultation report	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs
11	Environment al impact analysis (EIA)	An environmental impact analysis (EIA) has been carried out for the CPA, or evidence is provided that the programme activities are exempt from an EIA.	☑ Verifiable evidence:	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs
12	Public Funding	A written confirmation from the CPA Implementer has confirmed that no funding from Annex 1 parties has been used for this CPA [or] If used, a written confirmation from the donor confirms that this did not result in a diversion of official development assistance (ODA).	Verifiable evidence: - Written confirmation from CPA implementer - If funding from Annex I parties was used, written confirmation from donor that it did not result in a diversion of ODA	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs
13	Target Group	The target group will be Households, institutions or communities, as defined by the CPA type:	✓ Verifiable evidence: Operations Manual	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs

Version 02.0 Page 32 of 54

CDM-CPA-VAL-FORM

				CDIVI-CPA-VAL-FORIVI
		CPA type 3: Institutions Target group is recorded in the Sales Receipt, to be distributed according to mechanisms described in section A.2, including direct sales and sales through distribution partners.	Contract with CPA Implementer or distribution partner Technology type	
14	Sampling requirements	The sampling method applied in the CPA (e.g. in the monitoring plan) follows the Standard for Sampling and Surveys for CDM Project Activities and Programme of Activities (EB 74, Annex 6). A minimum 90% confidence interval and a 10% margin of error requirement is achieved for the sampled parameters. When a single sampling plan covers a group of CPAs or when monitoring is conducted biennially (every two years), confidence/precision of 95/10 for the sample size calculation is applicable.	✓ Verifiable evidence: – Sampling Plan	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs
15	Size Limit	The CPA's annual emissions reduction in aggregate remains below the small-scale limit of 60,000 tCO ₂ e reduced per annum throughout the crediting period.	✓ Verifiable evidence: - Emissions reductions calculation spreadsheet	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs
16	De-Bundling	The proposed CPA of the PoA is not a debundled component of a large scale activity because: Each of the independent subsystems/measures included in the CPA of a PoA is no larger than 1% of the small-scale thresholds defined by the applied methodology (i.e. not exceeding 600tCO ₂ e for SSC type III methodologies).	Verifiable evidence: - Emissions reductions calculation spreadsheet	To be filled on closure of CAR/CLs

Version 02.0 Page 33 of 54

Appendix 8. Validation Protocol for proposed CPAs Inclusion into the PoA

Conformity of Component Project Activities

CDM-CPA-DD Requirements Checklist

CPA 023

CPA 024

CPA 025

CPA 026

CPA 027

in Kenya

Version 02.0 Page 34 of 54

Table 1: CDM-CPA-DD / CDM-SSC-CPA-DD Requirements Checklist ((based on § 37 of the CDM Modalities and Procedures and on VVS, Project Standard and Standard for demonstration of additionality, development of eligibility criteria and application of multiple methodologies for programme of activities.)

Checklist	Comment	Ref.	Draft Concl.	Final Conc.
Specific requirements of CPA			33.13.1	
SECTION A. General description of CPA				
A.1. Title of the proposed or registered PoA				
A.1.1. Is the reference and title of the PoA to which this CPA is included provided?	Yes, the reference number of the PoA has been provided in this section.	/01-(a)/	OK	ОК
A.2. Title of the CPA				
A.2.1. Is the title of the CPA and the unique identification of the CPA Indicated?	Yes, the title of the CPA and the unique identification of the CPA has been appropriately indicated.	/01-(a)/	ОК	ОК
A.2.2. Is the current version number of the CPA-DD Indicated?	Yes, the current version number of CPA-DD has been provided in this section.	/01-(a)/	OK	OK
A.2.3.Is the date the CPA-DD was completed (DD/MM/YYYY) Indicated?	Yes, the date of completion of CPA-DD has been provided in this section.	/01-(a)/	ОК	ОК
A.3. Description of the CPA				
A.3.1 Is the description of the technology(ies) and/or measures used by the CPA is in accordance with the proposed or registered PoA, and in accordance with the applicable provisions in the Project standard?	Yes, the description of the technology and/or measure used by the CPA is in accordance with the proposed or registered PoA, and the applicable provisions in the Project standard. However, CL 01 and CL 02 are raised.	/01-(a)/	CL 01 CL 02	
A.4 Entity/individual responsible for CPA				
A.4.1.1 Is the information on the CPA implementer(s) provided? (CPA implementers can be project participants of the PoA, under which the CPA is submitted, provided)	Yes, appropriate information on the CPA implementer has been provided. However, CL 01 is raised.	/01-(a)/	CL 01	
A.4.1.2 Is the name of CPA implementers included in the CPA is consistent with the proposed/ registered PoA?	Yes, the name of CPA implementer included in the CPA is consistent with the registered PoA.	/01-(a)/	OK	OK
A.5 Technical description of the CPA				

Version 02.0 Page 35 of 54

CDM-CPA-VAL-FORM

			ODIN OI A	VAL-FORIV
A.5.1. Is the description the technologies and/or measures to be employed and/or implemented by the CPA including a list of the facilities, systems and equipment that will be installed and/or modified by the CPA provided?	Yes, the description of the technologies and/or measures to be employed and/or implemented by the CPA including a list of the facilities, systems and equipment that will be installed and/or modified by the CPA has been appropriately provided. However, CL 02 is raised	/01-(a)/	CL 02	
A.5.2 Does the description includes;				
A.5.2.1 A list and the arrangement of the main manufacturing/production technologies, systems and equipment involved provided?	Not Applicable	/01-(a)/, /B05/	ОК	ОК
A.5.2.2 information about the age and average lifetime of the equipment based on manufacturer's specifications and industry standards, and existing and forecast installed capacities, load factors and efficiencies?	Yes, information about the age and average lifetime of the equipment is based on manufacturer's specifications.	/01-(a)/	OK	OK
A.5.2.3 The monitoring equipment detail and their location in the systems. Does the monitoring detail provided are complete to measure all data and parameters such that Emission reduction can be measured or calculated?	Not Applicable	/01-(a)/, /B05/	ОК	OK
A.5.2.4 Energy and mass flows and balances of the systems and equipment included in the CPA?	Not Applicable	/01-(a)/, /B05/	OK	ОК
A.5.2.5 The types and levels of services (normally in terms of mass or energy flows) provided by the systems and equipment that are being modified and/or installed under the CPA and their relation, if any, to other manufacturing/production equipment and systems outside the project boundary?	Not Applicable	/01-(a)/, /B05/	OK	ОК
A.5.2.6 if the types and levels of services provided by those manufacturing/production systems and equipment outside the project boundary also constitute important parameters of the description.	Not Applicable	/01-(a)/, /B05/	ОК	OK
Does the description clearly explain how the same types and levels of services provided by the CPA would have been provided in the baseline scenario?				
A.5.3 Does the description contains a list of:-				
A.5.3.1 Facilities, systems and equipment in operation under the existing scenario prior to the implementation of the CPA?	Yes, this section contains description of systems/equipment in operation under the existing scenario prior to the implementation of the CPA.	/01-(a)/, /B05/	ОК	ОК

Version 02.0 Page 36 of 54

			CDIVI-CI A	4-1 AL-1 OIV
A.5.3.2 Facilities, systems and equipment in the baseline scenario?	Yes, this section contains description of systems/equipment in operation existing in the baseline scenario.	/01-(a)/, /B05/	ОК	OK
A.5.3.3 In case the baseline scenario is a continuation of current practice. Is it stated that both the scenarios are same?	Yes, the baseline scenario is a continuation of current practice.	/01-(a)/, /B05/	OK	OK
A.5.3.4 Does the information provides the purpose of the CPA and how it reduces GHG emissions?	Yes, information provided describes the purpose of the CPA and how it reduces GHG emissions.	/01-(a)/, /B05/	ОК	OK
A.6. Party(ies)				
A.6.1 Does the Party (ies) and CPA implementer(s) involved in the CPA provided in tabular format and in Appendix 1 Consistent and the contact information complete?	Yes, the Party and CPA implementer involved in the CPA has been provided in tabular format and is further consistent with the information contained in Appendix 1 and is complete.	/01-(a)/	ОК	OK
A.7. Geographic reference or other means of identification				
A.7.1 Is the geographic reference or other means of identification that allows for the unique identification of the CPA provided? (maximum in one page)?	Yes, appropriate geographic reference has been provided which allows for the unique identification of the CPA provided.	/01-(a)/	OK	OK
A.8. Duration of the CPA				
A.8.1 Start date of the CPA				
A.8.1 Is the start date provided in (DD/MM/YYYY) format?	Yes, the start date has been provided in the DD/MM/YYYY format.	/01-(a)/	OK	OK
A.8.1 Does the description, of how the start date was determined and is in line with the definition of start date in "Glossary of CDM terms" and provided in POA-DD?	Yes, the description of how the start date was determined has been provided and is further in line with the definition of start date in "Glossary of CDM terms".	/01-(a)/	CL 08	
	However, CL 08 has been raised.			
A.8.2 Expected operational lifetime of the CPA				
A.8.2.1 Is the expected operational lifetime of the CPA stated in years and months?	Yes, the expected operational lifetime of the CPA stated in years.	/01-(a)/	OK	OK
A.9. Choice of the crediting period and related information				
Does the type of crediting period renewable or Fixed chosen and clearly stated?	The type of crediting period chosen is renewable and is clearly stated.	/01-(a)/	OK	OK

Version 02.0 Page 37 of 54

			05 0.	A-VAL-I OIV
A.9.1 Choice of the crediting period and related information				
Is the expected start date of the crediting period of the CPA indicated in (DD/MM/YYYY) format, and line with PoA?	Yes, the expected start date of the crediting period of the CPA has been indicated in (DD/MM/YYYY) format and is line with PoA.	/01-(a)/	OK	ОК
A.9.2 Length of the crediting period				
A.9.2.1 Is the length of the crediting period chosen clearly indicated?	Yes, the length of the crediting period chosen is 7 years (twice renewable) and has been clearly indicated.	/01-(a)/	OK	ОК
A.9.2.1.1 In case a renewable crediting period is chosen, does the length of the first crediting period and the number of renewal periods provided?	Yes, renewable crediting period is chosen and the length of the first crediting period and the number of renewal periods has been appropriately provided.	/01-(a)/	ОК	OK
A.9.2.1.2 Does the total renewal periods comply and do not exceed the PoA validity period?	Yes, the total renewal periods comply and do not exceed the PoA validity period.	/01-(a)/	OK	OK
A.10 Estimated amount of GHG emission reductions				
Does the estimated annual GHG emission reductions for each year of the crediting period and, the annual average and the total GHG emission reductions over the chosen crediting period (or the first crediting period) provided in the table?	Yes, the estimated annual GHG emission reductions for each year of the crediting period and, the annual average and the total GHG emission reductions over the chosen crediting period (or the first crediting period) have been provided in the table.	/01-(a)/	CL 05	
	However, CL 05 has been raised.			
A.11. Public funding of the CPA				
A.11.1 Does the PoA receives public funding from Parties included in Annex I?	No, the PoA does not receive public funding from Parties included in Annex I.	/01-(a)/	OK	OK
A.11.2 if the PoA receives public funding from Parties included in Annex I, is the information on Parties providing public funding Provided in Appendix 2 and the affirmation obtained from such Parties is in accordance with applicable provisions related to official development assistance in the Project standard?	Not Applicable	/01-(a)/	OK	ОК
A.12. Confirmation for CPA				
A.12. Does the description include and confirm that the CPA is neither registered as an individual CDM project activity nor is part of another registered PoA?	Yes, the description includes and further confirms that the CPA is neither registered as an individual CDM project activity nor is part of another registered PoA.	/01-(a)/	ОК	ОК

Version 02.0 Page 38 of 54

			CDIII CI 7	-VAL-I OIN
SECTION B. Environmental analysis				
B.1. Analysis of the environmental impacts				
B.1.1 Is the analysis of the environmental impacts required and is undertaken,	No, analysis of the environmental impacts is not mandatory as per host party regulations.	/01-(a)/	OK	OK
B.1.2 Does the description and the analysis of environmental impacts undertaken is as per the PoA.	Not Applicable	/01-(a)/	OK	OK
B.2. Environmental impact assessment				
B.2.1. Is an environmental impact assessment required?	No, EIA is not mandatory as per host party regulations.	/01-(a)/	OK	OK
B.2.1.1 Does the assessment of the requirement of Environmental impact assessment and the conclusion & related references to all documentation provided?	Not Applicable	/01-(a)/	OK	OK
B.2.2 In case the section B1and B.2 is kept blank. Is it indicated and confirmed that the environmental analysis is provided at the PoA level.	Not Applicable	/01-(a)/	OK	OK
SECTION C. Local stakeholder comments				
C.1. Solicitation of comments from local stakeholders				
C.1 Is the detail of process by which comments from local stakeholders have been invited for the CPA described?	Local Stakeholder Consultation was conducted at CPA level.	/01-(a)/	CL 09	
	Thus, this section is Not Applicable.			
	However, CL 09 has been raised.			
C.2. Summary of comments received				
C.2 Are all stakeholders that have made comments Identified and Is the summary of these comments provided?	Subject to closure of CL 09	/01-(a)/	CL 09	
C.3.1 Does the information provided demonstrate that all comments received have been considered?	Subject to closure of CL 09	/01-(a)/	CL 09	
C.3.2. In case the section C1 and C.2 is kept blank. Is it indicated and confirmed that the stakeholder consultation information is provided at the PoA level?	Subject to closure of CL 09	/01-(a)/	CL 09	
SECTION D. Eligibility of CPA and estimation of emissions reductions				
D.1. Title and reference of the approved baseline and monitoring methodol	ogy(ies) selected.			
D.1. Is the exact methodology(ies) Identified and reference & title of the approved methodology provided?	Yes, CME has provided the UNFCCC reference of the applied methodology.	/01-(a)/	OK	OK

Version 02.0 Page 39 of 54

			ODIN OI A	VAL-I OINI
D.2. Application of methodology(ies)				
D.2.1 Is it demonstrated how the applicability conditions of the approved methodology(ies) and the PoA are met?	The CME has not demonstrated the applicability conditions of the methodology in the initially submitted CPA-DD.	/01-(a)/	CAR 01	
	CAR 01 is raised.			
D.2.2 Has the documentation that has been used provided and explained? Is the reference of documentation included in Appendix 3?	Subject to closure of CAR 01	/01-(a)/	CAR 01	
D.3. Sources and GHGs				
D.3.1 Does all the sources and GHGs included in the CPA boundary Described in accordance with the PoA?	The description of project boundary provided is complete and as per the narrative provided in the applied methodology.	/01-(a)/	CL 03	
	However, CL 03 is raised.			
D.3.2 Does the proof which shows that the CPA is located within the geographical boundary of the proposed or registered PoA Provide?	Yes, the proof which shows that the CPA is located within the geographical boundary of the proposed or registered PoA has been mentioned and provided.	/01-(a)/	OK	ОК
D.3.3. Does all emission sources and GHGs included in the CPA boundary described, explained and justified using the table provided?	Yes, all emission sources and GHGs included in the CPA boundary described are explained and justified using the table provided.	/01-(a)/	ОК	ОК
D.3.4 Does the section Include a flow diagram of equipment, energy and mass flows based on the description provided in section A.5. of CPA-DD?	Yes, this section includes a flow diagram of equipment, energy and mass flows based on the description provided in section B.2. of CPA-DD. The section numbering has changed from A.5 to B.2 as the CPA-DD form has been revised.	/01-(a)/	OK	ОК
D.4. Description of the baseline scenario				
D.4 Is the description of the baseline scenario and its identification for the CPA is in accordance with the PoA?	Yes, the description of the baseline scenario and its identification for the CPA is in accordance with the PoA.	/01-(a)/	CL 04	
	However, CL 04 is raised.			
D.5. Demonstration of eligibility for a CPA				

Version 02.0 Page 40 of 54

			02 0	. •
D.5.1 Does CPA meets each of the eligibility criteria of the PoA including confirmation of additionality of the CPA for its inclusion into the PoA? Please provide assessment for each of the eligibility criteria as per the proposed or registered PoA DD, the eligibility criteria shall cover (unless differently mentioned in the registered PoA DD, if the registered PoA DD provides different set of eligibility criteria, consider those in the below row) a minimum the following:	Yes, the CPA meets each of the eligibility criteria of the PoA including confirmation of additionality of the CPA for its inclusion into the PoA. However, CL 10, CL 11 and CL 12 are raised.	/01-(a)/, /B03/	CL 10 CL 11 CL 12	
(a) The geographical boundary of the CPA including any time-induced boundary # consistent with the geographical boundary set in the PoA # For example, an emission factor for electricity generation is dependent on the boundaries of regional or state or sub-regional grids.	The demonstration of the CPA's compliance with this eligibility criterion has been successfully made by the CME. Refer to the assessment provided in Appendix-7 for further details. Subject to closure of CL 10, 11 and 12.	/01-(a)/, /B03/	CL 10 CL 11 CL 12	
(b) Conditions that avoid double counting of emission reductions like unique identifications of product and end-user locations (e.g. programme logo);	The demonstration of the CPA's compliance with this eligibility criterion has been successfully made by the CME. Refer to the assessment provided in Appendix-7 for further details. Subject to closure of CL 10, 11 and 12.	/01-(a)/, /B03/	CL 10 CL 11 CL 12	
(c) The specifications of technology/measure # including the level * and type of service, performance specifications including compliance with testing/certifications; # Specifications of the technology/measure shall include the type, capacity and other key features of the design of the systems. For example, indicating the installed capacity (in kW), size or dimensions, fixed/portable operation, and other key design features that makes the project cook stoves efficient, would be appropriate; however, only indicating that all cook stoves will have an efficiency X% would not be sufficient.	The demonstration of the CPA's compliance with this eligibility criterion has been successfully made by the CME. Refer to the assessment provided in Appendix-7 for further details. Subject to closure of CL 10, 11 and 12.	/01-(a)/, /B03/	CL 10 CL 11 CL 12	
* The level of service shall be defined in comparison with the baseline system being replaced.				

Version 02.0 Page 41 of 54

				_
(d) Conditions to check the start date of the CPA through documentary evidence;	The demonstration of the CPA's compliance with this eligibility criterion has been	/01-(a)/, /B03/	CL 10	
evidence,	successfully made by the CME.	7000/	CL 11	
	Refer to the assessment provided in Appendix-7 for further details.		CL 12	
	Subject to closure of CL 10, 11 and 12.			
(a) Conditions that around compliance with applicability and other	The demonstration of the CPA's compliance	(04 (0)/	CL 10	
(e) Conditions that ensure compliance with applicability and other requirements of single or multiple methodologies applied by CPAs;	with this eligibility criterion has been	/01-(a)/, /B03/		
requirements of entigie of maniple methodologies applied by et 7te,	successfully made by the CME.	72007	CL 11	
	Refer to the assessment provided in		CL 12	
	Appendix-7 for further details. Subject to closure of CL 10, 11 and 12.			
(6) The conditions that arrows that the ODA months the manifestation	The demonstration of the CPA's compliance	(04 (-)/	01.40	
(f) The conditions that ensure that the CPA meets the requirements pertaining to the demonstration of additionality as assessed in section B.1	with this eligibility criterion has been	/01-(a)/, /B03/	CL 10	
above;	successfully made by the CME.	7000/	CL 11	
	Refer to the assessment provided in		CL 12	
	Appendix-7 for further details.			
	Subject to closure of CL 10, 11 and 12.			
(g) The PoA-specific requirements stipulated by the CME including any	The demonstration of the CPA's compliance	(04 (-)/	01.40	
conditions related to undertaking local stakeholder consultations and	with this eligibility criterion has been	/01-(a)/, /B03/	CL 10	
environmental impact analysis;#	successfully made by the CME.	75007	CL 11	
# See also relevant paragraphs of "CDM project cycle procedure".	Refer to the assessment provided in		CL 12	
	Appendix-7 for further details.			
	Subject to closure of CL 10, 11 and 12.			
(h) Conditions to provide an affirmation that funding from Annex I Parties,	The demonstration of the CPA's compliance	/01-(a)/,	CL 10	
if any, does not result in a diversion of official development assistance;	with this eligibility criterion has been	/01-(a)/, /B03/		
ary, adds not result in a diversion of official development assistance,	successfully made by the CME.	7500/	CL 11	
	Refer to the assessment provided in		CL 12	
	Appendix-7 for further details.			
	Subject to closure of CL 10, 11 and 12.			
(i) Where applicable, target group (e.g. domestic/commercial/industrial,	The demonstration of the CPA's compliance	/01-(a)/,	CL 10	
rural/urban, grid-connected/off-grid) and distribution mechanisms (e.g.	with this eligibility criterion has been	/b1-(a)/, /B03/		
direct installation) \$;	successfully made by the CME.	, 500/	CL 11	
\$ This is to re-test the validity of assumptions made at the PoA level. For	Refer to the assessment provided in		CL 12	
example, in a lighting efficiency application, lighting usage hours of 3.5	Appendix-7 for further details.			
hours per day would be valid if the target group is	Subject to closure of CL 10, 11 and 12.			
residences/households. Usage hours would be different in commercial applications and vice versa.	- Casjoot to Stock of CE 10, 11 and 12.			
מארוויטמווטרוט מווע אוטב אבוטמ.				

Version 02.0 Page 42 of 54

(j) Where applicable, the conditions related to sampling requirements for the PoA in accordance with the "Standard for sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities";	The demonstration of the CPA's compliance with this eligibility criterion has been successfully made by the CME. Refer to the assessment provided in Appendix-7 for further details.	/01-(a)/, /B03/	CL 10 CL 11 CL 12	
(k) Where applicable, the conditions that ensure that every CPA meets the small- scale or microscale threshold # and remains within those thresholds throughout the crediting period of the CPA. However, for a CPA that consists of only units that qualify as 'microscale CDM units' as defined in the methodological tool "Demonstration of additionality of microscale project activities", this condition is not required; # Please refer to the latest approved version of the methodological tool "Demonstrating additionality of microscale project activities" and the latest approved version of the "General Guidelines to SSC CDM	with this eligibility criterion has been successfully made by the CME. Refer to the assessment provided in Appendix-7 for further details. Subject to closure of CL 10, 11 and 12.	/01-(a)/, /B03/	CL 10 CL 11 CL 12	
methodologies". (I) Where applicable, the requirements for the debundling check, in case the CPA belongs to small-scale or microscale project categories #. However, if a CPA solely consists of 'microscale CDM units', the requirement regarding debundling is not applicable. # Please refer to the latest approved version of the methodological tool "Assessment of debundling for small-scale project activities".	The demonstration of the CPA's compliance with this eligibility criterion has been successfully made by the CME. Refer to the assessment provided in Appendix-7 for further details. Subject to closure of CL 10, 11 and 12.	/01-(a)/, /B03/	CL 10 CL 11 CL 12	

Version 02.0 Page 43 of 54

D.C. Estimation of anissism and attions			<u> </u>	712 1 011111
D.6. Estimation of emission reductions				
D.6.1.Explanation of methodological choices D.6.1.1 Is Explanation and justification for the methods and/or methodological steps, based on the applied methodology, for calculating baseline emissions applied to the CPA provided?	Yes, explanation and justification for the methods and/or methodological steps for calculating baseline emissions applied to the CPA have been provided in accordance with the applied methodology.	/01-(a)/, /B03/	ОК	ОК
D.6.1.2 Is Explanation and justification for the methods and/or methodological steps, based on the applied methodology, for calculating, project emissions, are applied to the CPA provided?	Not Applicable	/01-(a)/, /B03/	ОК	ОК
D.6.1.3 Is Explanation and justification for the methods and/or methodological steps, based on the applied methodology, for calculating, leakage emissions and emission reductions applied to the CPA provided?	Not Applicable	/01-(a)/, /B03/	OK	ОК
D.6.1.4 Is Explanation and justification for the methods and/or methodological steps, based on the applied methodology, for calculating, emission reductions applied to the CPA provided?	Yes, explanation and justification for the methods and/or methodological steps, based on the applied methodology, for calculating, emission reductions applied to the CPA have been provided.	/01-(a)/, /B03/	OK	OK
D.6.1.5 Is the equation for calculating the emission reductions for CPA is in line with the methodology and the PoA?	Yes, the equation for calculating the emission reductions for CPA is in line with the methodology and the PoA.	/01-(a)/, /B03/	OK	ОК
D.6.2. Data and parameters that are to be reported ex-ante				
D.6.2.1 Does the compilation of information on the data and parameters that are not monitored during the crediting period but are determined before the registration and remain fixed throughout the crediting period described and provided?	Yes, the compilation of information on the data and parameters that are not monitored during the crediting period but are determined before the registration and remain fixed throughout the crediting period has been described and provided.	/01-(a)/, /B03/	OK	ОК
D.6.2.2. Is the compilation of information for data that are measured or sampled, and data that are collected from other sources (e.g. official statistics, expert judgment, proprietary data, IPCC, commercial and scientific literature, etc.) are complete and as per the methodology and applicable conditions?	Yes, the compilation of information for data that are measured or sampled, and data that are collected from other sources are complete and as per the methodology and applicable conditions	/01-(a)/, /B03/	ОК	OK

Version 02.0 Page 44 of 54

			CDIVI-CFA-1	AL-I OI
D.6.2.3. Are all data or parameter, complete with respect to the: "Value(s) of data applied, Choice of data, Purpose of data, Measurement methods and procedures to enable Calculation of baseline emissions; Project Emission, Leakage Emission, Emission Reduction? Pleas list all ex-ante parameters (as below) along with their values and	Yes, all data or parameters are complete with respect to the: "Value(s) of data applied, Choice of data, Purpose of data, Measurement methods and procedures to enable calculation of baseline emissions; project emissions, and emission reductions.	/01-(a)/	ОК	ОК
provide an assessment on its appropriateness.	. ,			
Parameter: Case 1 or Case 2	The validation team reviewed the reference source and deems the value to be	/01/	OK	OK
Value: Case 1	appropriate.			
Source of value: from document "Demographic and Health Survey of Kenya (2013)"	Refer to section D.5.2 for detailed assessment.			
Parameter: WH	The validation team reviewed the reference	/01/	ОК	OK
Value: 4.186 KJ/L °C	source and deems the value to be			
Source of value: default value of applied methodology AMS-III.AV (version 04)	appropriate.			
Parameter: T _f	The validation team reviewed the reference	/01/	OK	OK
Value: 100 °C	source and deems the value to be appropriate.			
Source of value: default value of applied methodology AMS-III.AV (version 04)	арргорнате.			
Parameter: T _i	The validation team reviewed the reference	/01/	OK	OK
Value: 20 °C	source and deems the value to be appropriate.			
Source of value: default value of applied methodology AMS-III.AV (version 04)	арргорнате.			
Parameter: WHE	The validation team reviewed the reference	/01/	OK	OK
Value: 2,260 KJ/L	source and deems the value to be appropriate.			
Source of value: default value of applied methodology AMS-III.AV (version 04)	αρριομπαιε.			
Parameter: L	The validation team reviewed the reference	/01/	ОК	ОК
Value: 0.95	source and deems the value to be			
Source of value: default value of the methodology AMS-I.E	appropriate.			

Version 02.0 Page 45 of 54

			CD C . 7 C	VAL-I CIVI
Parameter: R _{y,i} Value: 3.5 litres/day (for boarding schools and prisons and 2 litres/day for day schools) Source of value: from documents "Water, Sanitation, Hygiene and Habit in Prisons (2005)" and "Minimum water quantity needed for domestic	The validation team reviewed the reference source and deems the value to be appropriate.	/01/	ОК	ОК
Parameter: EF _{EL,j,y} Value: 1.3 tCO ₂ /MWh Source of value: Tool to calculate baseline, project, and/or leakage CO ₂ emissions from electricity consumption" (Version 1)	The validation team reviewed the reference source and deems the value to be appropriate.	/01/	OK	ОК
Parameter: TDL _{j,y} Value: 20% Source of value: Tool to calculate baseline, project, and/or leakage CO2 emissions from electricity consumption" (Version 1)	The validation team reviewed the reference source and deems the value to be appropriate.	/01/	ОК	ОК
D.6.3. Ex-ante calculation of emission reductions				
D.6.3.1. Is ex ante calculation of project emissions, baseline emissions, Leakage emissions and /or Emission reduction expected during the crediting period, Provided in a transparent manner based on data or parameters (in the table in section D.6.2 above) applying all relevant equations provided in the selected methodology?	Yes, the ex-ante calculation of baseline emissions and Emission reduction expected during the crediting period are provided in a transparent manner based on data or parameters (in the table in section D.6.2 above) applying all relevant equations provided in the selected methodology.	/01-(a)/	ОК	ОК
D.6.3.2 If any of these estimates has been determined by a sampling approach, then are the descriptions of the sampling efforts undertaken (in accordance with the "Standard for sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities") Provided?	Yes, in cases where estimates have been determined by a sampling approach the descriptions of the sampling efforts undertaken have been provided.	/01-(a)/	ОК	OK
D.6.3.3. Are the documentation of each equation applied, represented in a manner that enables the reader to reproduce the calculation?	Yes, the documentation of each equation applied is represented in a manner that enables the reader to reproduce the calculation.	/01-(a)/	ОК	ОК
D.6.3.4. Are the relevant, additional background information and/or data (including relevant electronic) spreadsheet provided in Appendix 4?	Not Applicable	/01-(a)/	ОК	ОК
D.6.3.5 Is a sample calculation for each equation used, substituting the values used in the equations Provided?	Yes, a sample calculation for each equation used, substituting the values used in the equations has been provided.	/01-(a)/	OK	OK

Version 02.0 Page 46 of 54

D.6.4. Summary of the ex-ante estimates of emission reductions				
Is the summary of all ex-ante estimation of Baseline Emission, Project Emission, Leakage Emission and Emission Reduction provided in accordance with given table?	Yes, the summary of all ex-ante estimation of Baseline Emission and Emission Reduction is provided in accordance with given table.	/01-(a)/	ОК	ОК
D.7. Application of the monitoring methodology and description of the moni	toring plan			
D.7.1. Data and parameters to be monitored				
D.7.1.1. Is the specific information related to procedures for measurement, monitoring, recording, collected, archiving of data and parameters that is required for estimation and calculation of Emission Reduction provided?	Yes, the specific information related to procedures for measurement, monitoring, recording, collected, archiving of data and parameters that is required for estimation and calculation of Emission Reduction have been provided.	/01-(a)/	CL 06 CL 07 CAR 02	
	However, CL 06, CL 07 and CAR 02 are raised.			
D.7.1.2 Are all data or parameter, complete with respect to the: "Value(s) of data applied, Choice of data, Purpose of data, Measurement methods and procedures, QA/QC procedures to enable Calculation of baseline emissions; Project Emission, Leakage Emission, Emission Reduction?	Yes, all data or parameter are complete with respect to the: "Value(s) of data applied, Choice of data, Purpose of data, Measurement methods and procedures, QA/QC procedures to enable Calculation of baseline emissions; Project Emission, and Emission Reduction.	/01-(a)/	OK	OK
D.7.1.3 Are the relevant, additional background information on data and parameters to be monitored is provided in Appendix 5?	Not Applicable.	/01-(a)/	OK	ОК
D.7.1.4 Is the list of parameters presented in section B.7.1 (Part II of PoA-DD) considered to be complete with regards to the requirements of the applied methodology?				

Version 02.0 Page 47 of 54

Parameter: QPW _y	Monitoring Checklist	Yes / No / NA	/01/	OK	ОК
	Title and description in	Yes] '''		
	line with				
	methodology?				
	Data unit correctly	Yes			
	stated?				
	Source clearly	Yes			
	referenced?		<u> </u>		
	Correct value provided	Yes			
	for estimation?		41		
	Has this value been	Yes			
	verified?		41		
	Measurement method	Yes			
	and procedure				
	correctly described?	. V	4		
	Purpose of data	Yes		01/	
	correctly described	N. 1.0	4		
	Additional comments	NA			
	(if any)				
Parameter: T _{y,i}	Monitoring Checklist	Yes / No / NA	/01/	OK	OK
Parameter: T _{y,i}	Title and description in	Yes / No / NA Yes	_ /01/	OK	OK
Parameter: T _{y,i}	Title and description in line with		_ /01/	OK	OK
Parameter: T _{y,i}	Title and description in line with methodology?	Yes	/01/	OK	OK
Parameter: T _{y,i}	Title and description in line with methodology? Data unit correctly		/01/	OK	OK
Parameter: T _{y,i}	Title and description in line with methodology? Data unit correctly stated?	Yes	/01/	OK	OK
Parameter: T _{y,i}	Title and description in line with methodology? Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly	Yes	/01/	OK	OK
Parameter: T _{y,i}	Title and description in line with methodology? Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly referenced?	Yes Yes Yes	/01/	OK	OK
Parameter: T _{y,i}	Title and description in line with methodology? Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided	Yes	/01/	OK	OK
Parameter: T _{y,i}	Title and description in line with methodology? Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	/01/	OK	OK
Parameter: T _{y,i}	Title and description in line with methodology? Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation? Has this value been	Yes Yes Yes	/01/	OK	OK
Parameter: T _{y,i}	Title and description in line with methodology? Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation? Has this value been verified?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	/01/	OK	OK
Parameter: T _{y,i}	Title and description in line with methodology? Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation? Has this value been verified? Measurement method	Yes Yes Yes Yes	/01/	OK	OK
Parameter: T _{y,i}	Title and description in line with methodology? Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation? Has this value been verified? Measurement method and procedure	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	/01/	OK	OK
Parameter: T _{y,i}	Title and description in line with methodology? Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation? Has this value been verified? Measurement method and procedure correctly described?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	/01/	OK	OK
Parameter: T _{y,i}	Title and description in line with methodology? Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation? Has this value been verified? Measurement method and procedure correctly described? Purpose of data	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	/01/	OK	OK
Parameter: Ty,i	Title and description in line with methodology? Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation? Has this value been verified? Measurement method and procedure correctly described? Purpose of data correctly described	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	/01/	OK	OK
Parameter: Ty,i	Title and description in line with methodology? Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation? Has this value been verified? Measurement method and procedure correctly described? Purpose of data	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	/01/	OK	OK

Version 02.0 Page 48 of 54

Parameter: N _{v,i}	Monitoring Checklist	Yes / No / NA	/01/	OK	ОК
	Title and description in	Yes] /*//		
	line with				
	methodology?				
	Data unit correctly	Yes			
	stated?	V	41		
	Source clearly referenced?	Yes	<u> </u>		
	Correct value provided for estimation?	Yes			
	Has this value been verified?	Yes			
	Measurement method and procedure correctly described?	Yes			
	Purpose of data correctly described	Yes			
	Additional comments (if any)	NA			
Parameter: Water Qualityi	Monitoring Checklist	Yes / No / NA	/01/	ОК	ОК
,	Title and description in	Yes] '''		
	line with				
	methodology?		_		
	Data unit correctly	Yes	1		
	Data unit correctly stated?				
	Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly referenced?	Yes			
	Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly		-		
	Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided	Yes	-		
	Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation? Has this value been	Yes	- - - -		
	Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation? Has this value been verified?	Yes Yes Yes	- - - -		
	Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation? Has this value been verified? Measurement method and procedure correctly described?	Yes Yes Yes Yes			
	Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation? Has this value been verified? Measurement method and procedure correctly described? Purpose of data	Yes Yes Yes			
	Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation? Has this value been verified? Measurement method and procedure correctly described?	Yes Yes Yes Yes			

Version 02.0 Page 49 of 54

Parameter: Operational Units _i	Monitoring Checklist	Yes / No / NA	/01/	OK	ОК
	Title and description in	Yes			
	line with				
	methodology?				
	Data unit correctly	Yes			
	stated?				
	Source clearly	Yes			
	referenced?				
	Correct value provided	Yes			
	for estimation?				
	Has this value been	Yes			
	verified?				
	Measurement method	Yes			
	and procedure				
	correctly described?]		
	Purpose of data	Yes			
	correctly described]		
	Additional comments	NA			
	(if any)				
Parameter:f _{NRB,y}	Monitoring Checklist	Yes / No / NA	/01/	OK	OK
	Title and description in	Yes			
	line with				
	methodology?]		
	Data unit correctly	Yes	11		
		100	1 1		
	stated?				
	stated? Source clearly	Yes			
	stated? Source clearly referenced?	Yes			
	stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided		-		
	stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation?	Yes			
	stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation? Has this value been	Yes			
	stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation? Has this value been verified?	Yes Yes			
	stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation? Has this value been verified? Measurement method	Yes			
	stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation? Has this value been verified?	Yes Yes			
	stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation? Has this value been verified? Measurement method	Yes Yes			
	stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation? Has this value been verified? Measurement method and procedure	Yes Yes			
	stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation? Has this value been verified? Measurement method and procedure correctly described?	Yes Yes Yes Yes			
	stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation? Has this value been verified? Measurement method and procedure correctly described? Purpose of data	Yes Yes Yes Yes			

Version 02.0 Page 50 of 54

Parameter: η _{wb}	Monitoring Checklist	Yes / No / NA	/01/	OK	ОК
	Title and description in	Yes] ' ' '		
	line with				
	methodology?		<u> </u>		
	Data unit correctly	Yes			
	stated?		<u> </u>		
	Source clearly	Yes			
	referenced?]		
	Correct value provided	Yes			
	for estimation?]		
	Has this value been	Yes			
	verified?]		
	Measurement method	Yes			
	and procedure				
	correctly described?				
	Purpose of data	Yes			
	correctly described]		
	Additional comments	NA			
	(if any)				
Parameter: EF _{projected_fossilfuel}	Monitoring Checklist	Yes / No / NA	/01/	OK	OK
L)					
F3	Title and description in	Yes			
F	Title and description in line with	Yes			
F	Title and description in line with methodology?				
F	Title and description in line with methodology? Data unit correctly	Yes			
F	Title and description in line with methodology? Data unit correctly stated?	Yes			
F7	Title and description in line with methodology? Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly				
F	Title and description in line with methodology? Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly referenced?	Yes Yes			
F	Title and description in line with methodology? Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided	Yes			
	Title and description in line with methodology? Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation?	Yes Yes Yes			
	Title and description in line with methodology? Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation? Has this value been	Yes Yes			
	Title and description in line with methodology? Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation? Has this value been verified?	Yes Yes Yes Yes			
	Title and description in line with methodology? Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation? Has this value been verified? Measurement method	Yes Yes Yes			
	Title and description in line with methodology? Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation? Has this value been verified? Measurement method and procedure	Yes Yes Yes Yes			
	Title and description in line with methodology? Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation? Has this value been verified? Measurement method and procedure correctly described?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes			
	Title and description in line with methodology? Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation? Has this value been verified? Measurement method and procedure correctly described? Purpose of data	Yes Yes Yes Yes			
	Title and description in line with methodology? Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation? Has this value been verified? Measurement method and procedure correctly described? Purpose of data correctly described	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes			
	Title and description in line with methodology? Data unit correctly stated? Source clearly referenced? Correct value provided for estimation? Has this value been verified? Measurement method and procedure correctly described? Purpose of data	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes			

Version 02.0 Page 51 of 54

Parameter: Existence of public distribution network of safe drinking water	Monitoring Checklist	Yes / No / NA	/01/	OK	OK
. a.aa.aa.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.	Title and description in	Yes	, 5 .,		
	line with				
	methodology?	.,			
	Data unit correctly stated?	Yes			
	Source clearly	Yes			
	referenced?	165			
	Correct value provided	Yes			
	for estimation?				
	Has this value been verified?	Yes			
	Measurement method	Yes			
	and procedure				
	correctly described?				
	Purpose of data	Yes			
	correctly described				
	Additional comments (if any)	NA			
D.7.2. Description of the monitoring plan	11 (77				
D.7.2.1 Is the description of the monitoring plan for the CPA provided in accordance with the approved monitoring methodology (ies) and PoA?	Yes, the description of the CPA is provided in approved monitoring met	accordance with the	/01-(a)/, /B03/	OK	ОК
D.7.2.2 In case the data and parameters to be monitored determined by sampling approach, are the description of sampling plan provided in accordance with the recommended outline for a sampling plan in the "Standard for sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities"?	Yes, for the data and monitored determined by the description of samplir accordance with the reco a sampling plan in the "S and surveys for CDM p programme of activities."	sampling approach, ng plan is provided in mmended outline for tandard for sampling	/01-(a)/	OK	OK
D.7.3 Consistency check and font size	Yes all the information is size is accurate.	s consistent anf font	/01-(a)/	ОК	OK
D.7.3.1 Does the following key terms and there description is consistent within the various section of the PoA-DD?					
P.S.: Additional rows may be added if required.					

Version 02.0 Page 52 of 54

D.7.3.1.1. CME and Participants of PoA	Yes, the description of CME and Participants of PoA is consistent within the various	/01-(a)/	OK	ОК
D.7.3.1.2. Description/ Technology or measures to be employed by the CPA	yes, the description of Technology or measures to be employed by the CPA is	/01-(a)/	OK	OK
GFA	consistent within the various sections of the PoA-DD.			
D.7.3.1.3. Target group (end users type)	Yes, the Target group (end user type) listed are consistent within the various sections of the PoA-DD.	/01-(a)/	OK	OK
D.7.3.1.4. Eligibility criteria for inclusion of a CPA	Yes, the Eligibility criteria for inclusion of a CPA is consistent within the various sections of the PoA-DD.	/01-(a)/	OK	OK
D.7.3.2. Is the font size in all the respective documents is as per the requirements of Instructions for filling out the programme design document form for small-scale/large scale CDM programmes of activities?	Yes, the font size in all the respective documents is as per the requirements of Instructions for filling out the programme design document form for small-scale/large scale CDM programmes of activities	/01-(a)/	ОК	ОК

Version 02.0 Page 53 of 54

Document information

Version	Date	Description
02.0	29 December 2017	Revision to align with the requirements of the "CDM validation and verification standard for programme of activities" (version 01.0).
01.0	4 May 2015	Initial publication.
Decision Class: Regulatory Document Type: Form Business Function: Registration Keywords: component project activity, validation report		

Version 02.0 Page 54 of 54